

BEHAVIOUR AND INTENTIONS OF HOUSEHOLDS IN HIGH BUSHFIRE RISK AREAS

A REPORT FOR THE COUNTRY FIRE AUTHORITY

24 December 2009

Strahan Research Pty Ltd

Level 6, 90 William St
Melbourne Vic 3000
Tel (03) 9604 9199
Fax (03) 9604 9191

www.strahan-research.com

BenchmarkReportDec09.doc

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	3
Project Objectives	4
Research Methodology	5
Section I: Executive Summary	7
Section II: Household Survey Data	11
Section III: Demographics of Sample	31
Section IV: Survey	33
Section V: Cross Tabulated Data	44

BACKGROUND

The Victorian Government has launched the Bushfire Preparedness Program (BFPP) to strengthen community preparedness and the capacity of emergency services to respond to bushfire events.

A revised set of key messages has been communicated to the Victorian community to inform and raise awareness among the rural and urban populations of bushfire risk, and promote behaviours that will increase the chance of personal bushfire survival.

The content of the key messages has been adapted to reflect the lessons learned of 7 February; the recommendations of the Royal Commission and research into community perceptions^[1]. There is now a strong emphasis on *leaving* instead of *defending* and the Government has launched a new Fire Danger Rating that includes a *Code Red* (catastrophic) Danger Rating, which are for days where the community is advised that *leaving early* is the best option.

A key question for fire agencies and other stakeholders is to what extent the 7 February fires, their follow-up in the media and the new key messages have resulted in modified community behaviour and intentions.

Recent research indicates that although many Victorians felt impacted by the 7 February fires, only those living in high-risk areas acknowledge the risk. The general Victorian population while concerned does not feel threatened. Amongst those in high-risk areas, there remains a significant minority who do not feel at risk.

This research suggests a sense of casualness towards the coming fire season from many residents in high bushfire risk areas. Their preparations remain reactive to the potential threat – they are preparing their property (and while they have made a bushfire plan, ironically they are less likely to have practiced it than other Victorians).

A survey by Strahan Research of households in the 52 high-risk townships showed that the number of households that intended to stay and defend their property decreased compared to last year. A substantial number would *not* leave their property on the declaration of a Code Red day and a minority indicated that they are fully prepared and will plan for the safest possible outcomes. A substantial number of people still expect direction and advice from the emergency services during the events.

CFA requires monitoring research to provide a clear and accurate insight into perceptions and intentions of households within bushfire prone areas over the current fire season.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The objective of this research is to monitor the behaviour and intentions of householders in high fire risk districts before or during a bushfire or threat of a bushfire.

Specifically this includes:

- Existence and tangibility of Bushfire Preparedness Plan and whether it has been discussed and practiced with household members
- Understanding and perceptions of the new Fire Danger Ratings (FDR)
- Household intention on a Code Red Day to leave early including timing of early leaving
- Household stay or go intentions if threatened by fire and advised by various individuals
- Household confidence and anxiety toward current bushfire

METHODOLOGY

A random telephone survey of 400 households within 52 high fire risk townships in Victoria was undertaken in mid December 2009. Townships were represented in the sample of 400 to reflect their significance within the total population.

A sample of this size allowed some limited geographic aggregation and analysis beyond the simple reporting based on the total sample.

It also allowed CFA to be 95% confident that sample results are within 5% of aggregate population values.

QUESTIONNAIRE

The questionnaire was based on the research issues outlined in the Research Objectives and developed in conjunction with CFA Officers.

The average duration of the questionnaire was 11 minutes

SAMPLING

Strahan Research drew a random sample of households within the 52 townships from its telephone number database. These townships incorporate the following 80 areas:

Area	CFA Region	Area	CFA Region	Area	CFA Region
Bendigo	2	Blairgowrie	8	Monbulk	13
Castlemaine	2	Cockatoo	8	Mt Dandenong	13
Eaglehawk	2	Gembrook	8	Mt Evelyn	13
Junortoun	2	Rye	8	Olinda	13
Kangaroo Flat	2	Sorrento	8	Rowville	13
Maiden Gully	2	Upper Beaconsfield	8	Sassafras	13
St Arnaud	2	Inverloch	9	Selby	13
Bolwarra	4	Noojee	9	Silvan	13
Nelson	4	Sandy Bay	9	The Patch	13
Dunkeld	5	Tarwin Lower	9	Upper Ferntree Gully	13
Peterborough	5	Venus Bay	9	Upwey	13
Barongarook	6	Walkerville	9	Warrandyte	13
Barwon Downs	6	Waratah Bay	9	Warrandyte North	13
Carlisle River	6	Loch Sport	10	Macedon	14
Forrest	6	Bemm River	11	Mt Macedon	14
Kawarren	6	Cann River	11	Woodend	14
Laver's Hill	6	Mallacoota	11	Blackwood	15
Marengo	6	Belgrave	13	Creswick	15
Wye River	6	Belgrave Sth	13	Daylesford	15
Aireys Inlet	7	Clematis	13	Greendale	15
Anglesea	7	Emerald	13	Hepburn	15
Breamlea	7	Ferntree Gully	13	Mt Clear	15
Deans Marsh	7	Ferny Creek	13	Mt Helen	15
Dereel	7	Kallista	13	Trentham	15
Jan Juc	7	Kalorama	13	Halls Gap	16
Lorne	7	Macclesfield	13	Pomonal	16
Steiglitz	7	Menzies Creek	13		

MANAGEMENT OF DATA COLLECTION

Our surveying of households was rigorous and comprehensive:

- one interview per household was obtained;
- sample households were telephoned up to three times in order to make contact to complete an interview;
- only individuals within the sample were interviewed;
- highly experienced interviewers were used

PRE-TEST

20 households were pre-tested to fine tune the questionnaire, ensure it was of an appropriate duration and ensure that it was able to achieve our collection objectives of meaningful, high quality data.

AUDIT

In order to continuously monitor quality, all our telephone interviewing was completed on the premises of Strahan Research under strict supervision. All surveys were thoroughly audited consistent with AMSRS practice. 10% of each interviewer's calls were audited at each interview session.

SECTION I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

A random telephone survey of 408 households in 52 Victorian townships was undertaken in mid December 2009.

Last Bushfire Season

Written Bushfire Plan

18.4% of respondents had a written Bushfire Plan during the 2008/09 bushfire season while 62.7% had a plan that was not written.

Plan to Stay or Go

65.6% of respondents reported that in the previous fire season they had planned to leave if threatened by a bushfire.

27.8% had planned to stay and defend their property.

When Plan to Leave

35.4% of respondents had planned to leave as soon as they were aware there was a fire in their area. 25.7% planned to leave when advised by the emergency services and 12.3% had planned to leave when threatened by fire.

23.9% had planned to leave early, in advance of or on, a high fire danger day

Attitudes to Bushfire Safety

Over nine in ten respondents agreed that they:

- Know that they need to be self sufficient in the event of bushfire (94%)
- Understand a bushfire could impact on their property (92.4%)
- Accept responsibility for home and property during bushfire season (90.9%)

Current Bushfire Plan

Written Bushfire Plan

22.5% of respondents have a written Bushfire Action Plan and 62% have a plan but it is not written.

Discussed and Practiced Plan with Household Members

92.4% have discussed it with household members and 52.7% have practiced the plan.

Reasons for Not Having a Plan

Respondents who do not have a written plan give the following reasons:

- Know what to do (25.3%) without a plan because they are experienced and/or prepared
- Have few people in the household or are a single person (13.9%) so don't need to write their plan because it is discussed and/or understood.

- Can remember their plan without writing it (11.6%)
- Haven't got around to it or don't have time to write one (10.6%)

Fire Danger Rating System

Knowledge of FDR

61.6% of respondents cited the highest fire danger day as catastrophic or Code Red.

21.7% could not say what the highest fire rating was now called.

Knowledge of Advice for Code Red Days

59.5% of respondents cite emergency services advice is to leave in some manner including:

- Leave the night before or early in the morning (29.5%)
- "Leave early (12.8%)
- Leave immediately (11.0%)
- Leave (at an indeterminate time) (6.2%)

Knowledge of Advice for Extreme or Severe Days

15.5% of respondents say emergency services advice is to leave early unless they are able to defend a properly prepared property.

32.6% say the advice is to leave including:

- The night before or early next morning (11.7%)
- Early (11.0%)
- At an indeterminate time (5.6%)
- Immediately (4.3%)

29.7% say that the advice is to stay including:

- Be alert to fire, wait and see what happens and be prepared to leave (13.3%)
- Leave if threatened by fire (3.8%)
- Stay until advised to leave by emergency services (2.9%)
- Implement their bushfire plan (9.7%)

15.5% do not know what emergency services advise

Effectiveness of FDR

35.2% of respondents say that the new fire danger ratings are very or extremely effective and a further 33% see the ratings as somewhat effective.

Code Red Day

Plan for Code Red Day

60.6% plan to leave their property on a Code Red Day. 31.3% plan not to leave. Those who plan to leave would do so:

- On the morning of the Code Red Day (37.4%)
- The night before (30.1%)

-
- When advised by emergency services (21.5%)

Reasons for Not Leaving on Code Red Day

The main reasons for not leaving on a Code Red Day are because respondents believe:

- They are safe from bushfire and do not feel threatened (27.3%)
- There needs to be a fire for them to leave (25.3%)
- Their property is defensible and they are prepared against bushfire (20%)

Actions During a Bushfire

Respondents said that if a bushfire occurred in their area with all family members at home they would:

- Stay throughout the fire to try and protect the house and property (9.6%)
- Do as much as possible to protect the house but leave if threatened by the fire (24.9%)
- Stay but leave if advised to do so by emergency services (20%)
- Leave as soon as you are aware that there is a fire in the area (29.8%)
- Not be home as I intend to leave the area on days of high fire danger (15.5%)

SECTION II: HOUSEHOLD SURVEY DATA

LAST SEASON HAD BUSHFIRE PLAN

Respondents were asked:

During the last bushfire season, did you have a written bushfire plan for what you and your family would do if there was a bushfire?

Written Bushfire Plan	Respondents (n=408)
<i>Have a written plan</i>	18.4
<i>Have a plan but not written</i>	62.7
<i>Have no plan</i>	18.9
<i>Don't Know</i>	0.0

Less than one in five respondents (18.4%) had a written Bushfire Plan during the 2008/09 bushfire season.

Over six in ten (62.7%) said they had a plan but it was not written.

Almost one in five (18.9%) did not have a Bushfire Plan last bushfire season.

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups did not have a bushfire plan last season tend to be those living:

- In Bendigo and surrounding areas (38.6%)
- More than 500 metres from bushland (25.3%)
- On a residential block (23.5%)

PREVIOUS SEASON STAY OR GO PLAN

Respondents were asked:

During the last bushfire season, was it you and your family's plan to stay and defend your property or to leave your property early if you were threatened by a bushfire?

<i>Plan to stay or go</i>	<i>Respondents (n=408)</i>
<i>Stay and defend property</i>	27.8
<i>Leave if threatened by bushfire</i>	65.6
<i>Don't Know</i>	6.6

Over one quarter of respondents (27.8%) said that last bushfire season they planned to stay and defend their property in the event that they were threatened by bushfire.

Almost two thirds (65.6%) had planned to leave if threatened by bushfire while 6.6% did not know what they had planned.

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups planned last season to stay and defend their properties tend to be:

- Living on a small acreage or large farm (41.1%)
- Men (37.4%)

TIME PLANNED TO LEAVE

Respondents who said that they planned to leave were asked:

During the last bushfire season when did you plan to leave?

<i>When planned to leave</i>	<i>Respondents (n = 268)</i>
<i>When threatened by the bushfire</i>	12.3
<i>When advised by emergency services</i>	25.7
<i>As soon as aware there was a fire in the area</i>	35.4
<i>Before or early on a high fire danger day</i>	23.9
<i>Don't Know</i>	2.2
<i>Other</i>	0.4

Over one third of respondents (35.4%) said that in the 2008/09 bushfire season, they had planned to leave as soon as they were aware there was a fire in their area and a quarter (25.7%) planned to leave when advised by the emergency services.

Almost one in eight (12.3%) had planned to leave when threatened by fire.

Almost one quarter (23.9%) had planned to leave early, in advance of or on, a high fire danger day.

Demographic Analysis

Those groups who more than others planned to leave when they were threatened by bushfire tend to be:

- Living more than 500 metres from bushland (21.2%)
- Men (16.5%)
- Households without dependents (15.6%)

Households with dependents (40.5%) more than other groups tend to say that they had planned to leave when they were aware of fire in the area.

Those living in Bendigo and surrounding areas (38.2%) more than others tend to say that they had planned to leave when advised by the emergency services.

ATTITUDES TO BUSHFIRE SAFETY

Respondents were asked:

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Statement pertaining to bushfire	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Agree slightly	Agree	Strongly agree
<i>I understand a bushfire could impact on properties around here including mine.</i>	0.7	2.0	1.5	3.4	33.7	58.7
<i>The thought of being involved in a bushfire makes me feel sick in the stomach</i>	4.7	15.0	10.3	7.1	26.5	36.4
<i>I accept responsibility for my home and property during the bushfire season</i>	1.0	2.0	0.7	3.4	39.1	53.8
<i>I know I need to be self sufficient in the event of a bushfire</i>	1.7	3.2	1.0	3.2	39.9	51.0
<i>I worry about being affected by bushfire</i>	5.1	12.3	8.8	9.6	30.4	33.8
<i>I spend time thinking about what I would do if a bushfire occurred</i>	6.4	10.9	7.4	12.4	35.9	27.0

Over nine in ten respondents agreed that they:

- Know that they need to be self sufficient in the event of bushfire (94%)
- Understand a bushfire could impact on their property (92.4%)
- Accept responsibility for home and property during bushfire season (90.9%)

Over six in ten respondents agree that they:

- Worry about being affected by bushfire (64.1%)
- Spend time thinking about what they would do in the event of a bushfire (62.9%)
- Feel sick in the stomach at the thought of being involved in a bushfire (62.9%)

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups say that the thought of being involved in a bushfire makes them feel sick in the stomach tend to be:

- People aged 18-34 years (85.2%)
- Women (82.1%)
- People living in Bendigo and surrounding areas (77.2%)

Women (82.5%) more than other groups tend to say that they worry about being affected by bushfire.

CURRENTLY HAVE BUSHFIRE PLAN

Respondents were asked:

Do you now have a written bushfire plan for what you and your family would do if there was a bushfire?

Have you discussed your plan with members of your household?

Have you practiced your plan with members of your household?

Bushfire Plan	Have Plan (n=408)	Discussed with Household (n=92)	Practiced plan with household (n=92)
Yes (written plan)	22.5		
Yes but not written	62.0	92.4	52.7
No	15.4	7.6	47.3

Over one in five respondents (22.5%) have a written Bushfire Action Plan and a further 62% say they have a plan but it is not written. Over one in seven (15.4%) have no plan

Of those who have a plan (written or in their head) 92.4% say they have discussed it with household members and 52.7% have practiced the plan.

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than others say they do not have a bushfire plan tend to be living:

- On a residential block (20.4%)
- More than 500 metres from bushland (20.2%)

Households with dependents (100%) more than other groups tend to say they have discussed their bushfire plan.

Those who more than other groups tend to say they have practised their bushfire plan are:

- Aged 65 years and over (72.7%)
- Living on a small acreage or large farm (65.8%)
- Men (61%)

REASONS FOR NOT HAVING WRITTEN BUSHFIRE PLAN

Respondents who said that they did not have a bushfire plan were asked:

What is the main reason why you don't have a written plan?

<i>Reasons for not having written plan</i>	<i>Responses %</i>
<i>Know what to do</i>	25.3
<i>Small household/just me</i>	13.9
<i>Don't need to write /remember it</i>	11.6
<i>Haven't got round to it/not priority</i>	10.6
<i>Will leave when threatened</i>	8.6
<i>Not high risk</i>	7.8
<i>Intend to leave early</i>	6.6
<i>In the process of preparing a Plan</i>	6.1
<i>Fire too unpredictable/play by ear</i>	4.0
<i>Emergency services will tell me what to do</i>	2.0
<i>Haven't got enough information to prepare</i>	1.0
<i>Other</i>	2.6

* Multiple responses possible

There are four key reasons cited by respondents for not having a Bushfire Plan. They say that they:

- Know what to do (25.3%) without a plan because they are experienced and/or prepared
- Have few people in the household or are a single person (13.9%) so don't need to write their plan because it is discussed and/or understood.
- Can remember their plan without writing it (11.6%)
- Haven't got around to it or don't have time to write one (10.6%)

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups say they do not have a written bushfire plan because they know what to do tend to be living:

- On a small acreage or large farm (45.3%)

-
- In areas other than(39.3%) Bendigo and surrounds and the Dandenongs

Those who more than other groups say they do not have a written bushfire plan because they don't need it to be written down tend to be living:

- More than 500 metres from bushland (20.3%)
- In the Dandenongs (18.3%)

Those who more than other groups say they do not have a written bushfire plan because they live alone or in a small household tend to be:

- In a household without dependents (26.2%)
- Aged 65 years and over (28.8%)

People living more than 500 metres from bushland more than others tend to say they do not have a bushfire plan because they are not at high risk from bushfire (13.9%).

People living in Bendigo and surrounds more than other groups tend to say they do not have a bushfire plan because they haven't got around to it or have not had time (23.9%).

People living in the Dandenongs more than other groups tend to say they do not have a bushfire plan because they will just leave their homes early (11.8%).

People living in areas other than Bendigo and surrounds and the Dandenongs more than other groups tend to say they do not have a bushfire plan because they intend to leave when threatened by bushfire (15.9%).

FIRE DANGER RATING

Respondents were asked:

A new Fire Danger Rating has been introduced in Victoria. Do you know what the highest danger rating is now called?

Highest FDR	Respondents (n = 406)
Code Red/ Catastrophic Day	61.6
Other colour	1.5
Other description – extreme, severe etc	15.0
Don't Know	21.7
Other	0.2

Over six in ten respondents (61.6%) were able to cite the highest fire danger day as catastrophic or Code Red.

Over one in seven (15%) used other descriptions such as extreme, severe, critical,, acute and disastrous.

Over one in five (21.7%) could not say what the highest fire rating was now called.

Demographic Analysis

There are no significant differences between demographic groups for this question.

EMERGENCY SERVICES ADVICE FOR CODE RED DAY

Respondents were asked:

Can you tell me what the emergency services advise you to do if there is a Code Red or catastrophic bushfire danger day?

<i>Emergency Services Advise for Code Red Day</i>	<i>Responses %</i>
<i>Leave night before/early in morning</i>	29.5
<i>Leave early</i>	12.8
<i>Leave or be able to defend</i>	11.7
<i>Leave immediately</i>	11.0
<i>Leave (at some time)</i>	6.2
<i>Implement Bushfire plan</i>	5.0
<i>Stay till advised by ES to leave</i>	3.9
<i>Go to refuge/safe place</i>	3.2
<i>Stay alert/wait and see/prepare to leave</i>	1.6
<i>Don't Know</i>	12.1
<i>Other</i>	2.9

* Multiple responses possible

Almost one in six responses (59.5%) cite emergency services advice is to leave in some manner. This comprises almost three in ten respondents (29.5%) who say correctly that the emergency services advice for a Code Red Day, is to leave the night before or early in the morning. One in eight (12.8%) say that emergency service advice is to "leave early, 11.0%. say it is to leave immediately and a further 6.2% say it is to leave (at an indeterminate time).

Over one in nine (11.7%) say incorrectly that the advice is to leave or be able to stay and defend their property.

Almost one in eight (12.1%) do not know what emergency services advise for a Code Red Day

Demographic Analysis

People resident in the Dandenongs more than other groups say that the advice of emergency services on a Code Red Day is to leave the night before or early in the morning (37.9%) or to leave early (15.7%)

Those who more than other groups say they do not know what emergency services advise for a Code Red day tend to:

- Live in Bendigo and surrounds (24.6%)
- Be aged 65 years and over (20.4%)

EMERGENCY SERVICES ADVICE FOR EXTREME OR SEVERE DAY

Respondents were asked:

Can you tell me what the emergency services advise you to do if there is an extreme or severe bushfire danger day?

<i>Emergency Services Advise for Extreme or Severe Day</i>	<i>Responses %</i>
<i>Leave or be able to defend</i>	15.5
<i>Be alert/wait and see/prepared to leave</i>	13.3
<i>Leave night before/early in morning</i>	11.7
<i>Leave early</i>	11.0
<i>Implement Bushfire plan</i>	9.7
<i>Leave (at some time)</i>	5.6
<i>Leave immediately</i>	4.3
<i>Leave if threatened by fire</i>	3.8
<i>Stay till advised by ES to leave</i>	2.9
<i>Prepare property</i>	1.8
<i>Go to refuge/safe place</i>	1.8
<i>Other</i>	3.1
<i>Don't Know</i>	15.5

* Multiple responses possible

Over one in seven responses (15.5%) cite correctly that emergency services advice on an extreme or severe bushfire day is to leave early unless they are able to defend a properly prepared property.

Almost one third of responses (32.6%) say the advice is to leave:

- The night before or early next morning (11.7%)
- Early (11.0%)
- At an indeterminate time (5.6%)
- Immediately (4.3%)

Almost three in ten responses (29.7%) say that the advice is to stay including:

- Be alert to fire, wait and see what happens and be prepared to leave (13.3%)
- Leave if threatened by fire (3.8%)

-
- Stay until advised to leave by emergency services (2.9%)
 - Implement their bushfire plan (9.7%)

Over one in seven responses (15.5%) of respondents do not know what emergency services advise on an extreme or severe bushfire danger day.

Demographic Analysis

People living in areas other than Bendigo and surrounds and the Dandenongs more than other groups say that emergency services advice on extreme or severe bushfire danger days is to leave unless your property is properly prepared and defensible (21.3%).

People living on small acreages or large farms more than other groups tend to say that emergency service advice is to leave early (18.1%)

Those who more than others say that they do not know what emergency services advise on an extreme or severe fire danger day tend to be:

- Living in Bendigo and surrounding areas (22.8%)
- Living in households with dependents (21.3%)
- Aged 65 years and over (19.4%)

Those who more than others say emergency services advice is to be alert and wait and see tend to be living in:

- Households without children (18.3%)
- Bendigo and surrounding areas (17.5%)

EFFECTIVENESS OF FIRE DANGER RATINGS

Respondents were asked:

How effective do you think the new Fire Danger Ratings are in providing an indicator of potential danger from bushfire?

Effectiveness of FDR	Respondents (n = 406)
<i>Not effective at all</i>	9.6
<i>Slightly effective</i>	11.1
<i>Somewhat effective</i>	33.0
<i>Very effective</i>	31.0
<i>Extremely effective</i>	4.2
<i>Don't Know</i>	11.1

Over one third of respondents (35.2%) say that the new fire danger ratings are very or extremely effective. A further one third (33%) believe the ratings are somewhat effective.

Over one in five respondents (20.7%) say that the new fire danger ratings are not effective at all or slightly effective.

Over one in ten (11.1%) cannot say how effective the ratings are.

Demographic Analysis

There are no significant differences between demographic groups for this question.

PLAN FOR CODE RED DAY

Respondents were asked:

This bushfire season, do you and your family plan to leave your property on a code red day - that is a catastrophic bushfire weather day?

<i>Plan to leave on Code Red Day</i>	<i>Respondents (n=406)</i>
Yes	60.6
No	31.3
Don't know	8.1

More than six in ten respondents (60.6%) plan to leave their property on a Code Red Day while over three in ten (31.3%) plan not to leave. A further 8.1% don't know what they will do

Demographic Analysis

Women (67.5%) more than other groups say that they plan to leave on a Code Red Day.

People living in Bendigo and surrounding areas (43.9%) more than other groups say that they plan **not** to leave on a Code Red Day.

WHEN LEAVE ON CODE RED DAY

Respondents who said they would leave on a Code Red day were asked:

Would you plan to leave?

<i>When leave on Code Red day</i>	<i>Respondents (n=246)</i>
<i>The night before the forecast code red day</i>	30.1
<i>When advised by emergency services</i>	21.5
<i>In the morning of the code red day</i>	37.4
<i>Sometime during the code red day</i>	7.3
<i>Don't Know</i>	2.4
<i>Other</i>	1.2

Of those respondents who plan to leave on a Code Red Day, over a third (37.4%) would leave in the morning of the Code Red day, three in ten (30.1%) would leave the night before the Code Red Day and 7.3% would leave sometime during the day.

Over one in five (21.5%) would leave when advised by emergency services.

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups tend to say that they plan to leave when told by emergency services are people living:

- In Bendigo and surrounding areas (55.6%)
- On residential blocks (29.3%)

Residents of areas other than Bendigo and the Dandenongs (37.8%) more than other groups tend to say that they plan to leave the night before the Code Red Day.

REASON FOR NOT LEAVING ON CODE RED DAY

Respondents who said they would not leave on a Code Red day were asked:

What is the main reason why you would not leave on a code red day?

Why not leave on Code Red day	Responses %
Safe not threatened	27.3
Needs to be a fire to leave	25.3
Can defend property/property prepared	20.0
Nowhere to go	6.7
Better/comfortable at home/in town	6.0
Can't keep coming and going	4.7
Don't Know	1.3
Other	10.7

* Multiple responses possible

The three main reasons for not leaving on a Code Red Day cited in over seven in ten responses are because respondents believe:

- They are safe from bushfire and do not feel threatened (27.3%)
- There needs to be a fire for them to leave (25.3%)
- Their property is defensible and they are prepared against bushfire (20%)

Demographic Analysis

Those who more than other groups say they would not leave on a Code Red day because they can defend their property tend to be:

- Men (34.3%)
- Living on a small acreage or large farm (32.7%)

Those who more than other groups say they would not leave on a Code Red day because they feel safe from or not threatened by bushfire tend to be:

- Living more than 500 metres from bushland (44.4%)
- Men (37.3%)

People living in households with dependents more than other groups say that they would not leave on a Code Red Day because there needs to be a fire before they would leave (38.8%).

ACTIONS IF BUSHFIRE IN AREA

Respondents were asked:

*Which one of the following actions are **you** most likely to take if all members of your household were at home when a bushfire occurred in your area?*

*Which action would **other adult members (partner/spouse)** of your household take?*

*What action would any **dependants** (children under 18 years old or elderly) take?*

Actions likely to take	You (n = 406) %	Partner (n = 406) %		Dependants (n = 406) %	
<i>Stay throughout the fire to try and protect the house and property</i>	9.6	8.6	10.8	2.2	5.5
<i>Do as much as possible to protect the house but leave if threatened by the fire</i>	24.9	20.0	25.0	8.4	21.0
<i>Stay but leave if advised to do so by emergency services</i>	20.0	14.8	18.5	7.4	18.5
<i>Leave as soon as you are aware that there is a fire in the area</i>	29.8	25.6	32.0	14.8	37.5
<i>I would not be home as I intend to leave the area on days of high fire danger</i>	15.5	10.8	13.5	6.9	17.3
<i>Don't know what I would do</i>	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5
<i>Not applicable</i>	na	20.1		60.2	

Respondent's actions

Almost three in ten respondents (29.8%) say that they would leave as soon as they were aware of a bushfire in their area.

A further one-quarter of respondents (24.9%) would leave if threatened by bushfire.

One in five respondents (20%) would wait to be advised by emergency services before they would leave.

Over one in seven (15.5%) would leave early on a day of high fire danger.

Just less than one in ten (9.6%) would stay and defend their property.

Partners/Adults actions

Of those respondents who have partners or other adults in the household almost one third (32.0%) say that they would leave as soon as they were aware of a bushfire in their area and one quarter (25.0%) would leave if threatened by fire.

Almost one in five (18.5%) would leave if advised by emergency services

Over one in eight partners/adults (13.5%) would leave early on a day of high fire danger.

Over one in ten (10.8%) would stay and defend their property

Dependants

Of those respondents who have dependants in the household more than one third (37.5%) say that they would leave as soon as they were aware of a bushfire in their area.

Over one in five (21.0%) would leave if threatened by fire and less than one in five (18.5%) would leave if advised by emergency services.

Just over one in six (17.3%) would leave early on a day of high fire danger.

Demographic Analysis

There are no significant differences between demographic groups for this question.

SECTION III: DEMOGRAPHICS OF SAMPLE

	% Respondents (n = 406)	
Household situation		
Couple with children or other dependents	34.1	
Couple without children or other dependents	34.1	
Single person with children or other dependents	5.7	
Single person without children or other dependents	16.4	
Group of adults	9.5	
Other	0.2	
Country of birth		
In Australia	77.1	
Overseas	22.9	
Main language spoken at home		
English	98.8	
Another language	1.2	
Geographic Area		
Dandenongs	47.3	
Bendigo and surrounds	22.0	
Remainder	30.7	
Gender		
Male	42.4	
Female	57.6	
Age		
	Respondent	Partner
18-24	2.7	1.1
25-34	4.0	3.7
35-44	17.2	14.6
45-54	23.2	17.0
55-64	27.2	19.1
65-74	15.5	15.4
75 and over	10.2	6.4
Ref/Not Applicable	0.3	22.6
Distance from Bushland		
Less than 100 metres	49.1	
100 to less than 500 metres	26.5	
500 to 1 kilometre	22.4	
More than 1 kilometre	2.0	
Type of Property		
House on residential block	64.0	
Hobby farm or small acreage	34.5	
House on large farm	1.5	
Other	0.0	

SECTION IV: SURVEY

0. 0
0 _____

S. Hello. I am **[NAME OF INTERVIEWER]** ringing on behalf of the Country Fire authority (CFA) from Strahan Research. We are doing a survey on bushfire . It takes 7 minutes and your comments will be confidential. We would like you to answer all the questions but you don't have to.

1. What is the approximate distance between your house and the nearest bushland area? (An area of forest or trees or bush etc)

- m Less than 100 metres
- m Between 100 and less than 500 metres
- m Between 500 metres and 1 kilometre
- m Greater than 1 kilometre

2. Which of the following best describes the property you live on?

- m House on residential block
- m Hobby farm or small acreage
- m House on large farm
- m Other[specify] _____

S. IF Q1 = > 1 KILOMETRE + Q2 = HOUSE ON RESIDENTIAL BLOCK THEN TERMINATE. CALL OUTCOME *NOT SUITABLE*.

2a. During the **last bushfire season**, did you have a written bushfire plan for what you and your family would do if there was a bushfire?

- m Yes have a written plan
- m Yes have a plan but not written down
- m No
- m Don't Know

2c. **During the last bushfire season**, was it you and your family's plan to stay and defend your property or to leave your property if you were threatened by a bushfire?

- m Stay and defend property
- m Leave early
- m Don't Know

2d. During the last bushfire season when did you plan to leave

- m When threatened by the bushfire
- m When advised by emergency services
- m As soon as aware there was a fire in the area
- m Before or early on a high fire danger day
- m Don't Know
- m Other (SPECIFY) _____

3. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements . [Prompt on scale to begin with.....*Is that slightly agree, agree or strongly agree?*].

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| I understand a bushfire could impact on properties around here including mine | m Strongly disagree |
| | m Disagree |
| | m Disagree slightly |
| The thought of being involved in a bushfire makes me feel sick in the stomach | m Agree slightly |
| | m Agree |
| | m Strongly agree |
| I accept responsibility for my home and property during the bushfire season | |
| I know that I need to be self sufficient in the event of a bushfire | |
| I worry about being affected by bushfire | |
| I spend time thinking about what I would do if a bushfire occurred | |

4. Do you **now** have written plan of what you and others in your household would do if a bushfire occurred in the area where you live?

- m Yes have a written plan
- m Yes have a plan but not written down
- m No

4a. What is the main reason why you don't have a written plan?

—

—

—

4b. Have you discussed your plan with the members of your household?

m Yes

m No

m Can't remember

4c. Have you practiced your plan with the members of your household?

m Yes

m No

m Can't remember

5. A new Fire Danger Rating system has been introduced in Victoria. Do you know what the highest danger rating is now called? [DO NOT PROMPT]

- m Code Red/Catastrophic Day
- m Other colour - red, orange, yellow
- m Other description - exteme, severe, very high
- m Other (Specify) _____
- m Don't Know

6. Can you tell me what the emergency services advise you to do if there is a Code Red or catastrophic bushfire danger day?

—

—

—

7. What is advised for an extreme or severe fire danger day?

—

—

—

8. How effective do you think the new Fire Danger Ratings are in providing an indicator of potential danger from bushfire?

- m Not effective at all
- m Slightly effective
- m Somewhat effective
- m Very effective
- m Extremely effective
- m Don't Know

9. This bushfire season, do you and your family plan to leave your property on a code red day - that is a catastrophic bushfire weather day?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Don't Know

9a. Would you plan to leave

- m The night before the forecast code red day
- m When advised by emergency services
- m In the morning of the code red day
- m Sometime during the code red day
- m Don't Know
- m Other (SPECIFY) _____

9b. What is the main reason why you would not leave on a code red day?

—

—

—

10. Which one of the following actions are **you** most likely to take if all members of your household were at home when a bushfire occurred in your area? **[READ LIST]. 10b** Which action would **other adult members (partner/spouse)** of your household take? 10c What action would any **dependants** (children <18years old or elderly) take?

	You	Q10b Other Adults	Q10c Dependants
Stay throughout the fire to try and protect the house and property	m Yes m No	m Yes m No	m Yes m No
Do as much as possible to protect the house but leave if threatened by the fire			
Stay but leave if advised to do so by emergency services			
Leave as soon as you are aware that there is a fire in the area			
I would not be home as I intend to leave the area on days of high fire danger			
Don't know what I would do			
Not applicable (no other adult or dependants in household)			

S. And now for the last few questions.

11. Which of the following best describes your household situation?

- m Couple with one or more children or dependents
- m Couple without children or dependents
- m Single person with children or dependents
- m Single person without children or dependents
- m Group of adults living together
- m Other _____

12. Which of the following age groups do the following people belong to?

Household members	Age
You	m 18 to 24
Your partner	m 25 to 34
	m 35 to 44
	m 45 to 54
	m 55 to 64
	m 65 -74
	m 75 and over
	m Not applicable

12a. Were you born in Australia or overseas?

- m In Australia
 - m Overseas. Where were you born? (A COUNTRY)
-

12b. What is the main language that you speak at home?

- m English
 - m Another language. What language
-

13. What is the postcode of the area you live in?

Postcode _____

14. Are you or any member of your household a current member of CFA?

- m Yes I am in CFA
- m Yes a person in the household is in CFA
- m No

15. Sometimes our interviews are checked to make sure we have done them correctly. Could I have your first name only please?

Name _____

S. That is the end of the interview. Thank you for your time. Just to remind you that I am [NAME OF INTERVIEWER] from Strahan Research and If you have any questions, concerns or feedback please contact our office on 9243 3270.

16. RECORD GENDER

- m Male
- m Female

17. RECORD TELEPHONE NUMBER

Telephone _____

18. RECORD NAME OF INTERVIEWER

Interviewer _____

SECTION IV: CROSS-TABULATED DATA

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	32	43	75
		% within Gender	18.6%	18.4%	18.5%
	Yes but not written	Count	108	146	254
		% within Gender	62.8%	62.4%	62.6%
	No	Count	32	45	77
		% within Gender	18.6%	19.2%	19.0%
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.026 ^a	2	.987
Likelihood Ratio	.026	2	.987
Linear-by-Linear Association	.019	1	.890
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.77.

Last season have written BP * Distance from bush land**Crosstab**

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	61	14	75
		% within Distance from bushland	19.8%	14.1%	18.4%
	Yes but not written	Count	196	60	256
		% within Distance from bushland	63.6%	60.6%	62.9%
	No	Count	51	25	76
		% within Distance from bushland	16.6%	25.3%	18.7%
Total	Count	308	99	407	
	% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.446 ^a	2	.108
Likelihood Ratio	4.326	2	.115
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.153	1	.042
N of Valid Cases	407		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.24.

Last season have written BP * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	42	32	74
		% within Type of property	16.2%	21.9%	18.2%
	Yes but not written	Count	157	99	256
		% within Type of property	60.4%	67.8%	63.1%
	No	Count	61	15	76
		% within Type of property	23.5%	10.3%	18.7%
Total	Count	260	146	406	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.208 ^a	2	.004
Likelihood Ratio	12.022	2	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.067	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.61.

Last season have written BP * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	33	39	0	72
		% within Household type2	20.6%	16.2%	.0%	17.9%
	Yes but not written	Count	104	148	1	253
		% within Household type2	65.0%	61.4%	100.0%	62.9%
	No	Count	23	54	0	77
		% within Household type2	14.4%	22.4%	.0%	19.2%
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.085 ^a	4	.279
Likelihood Ratio	5.517	4	.238
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.937	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 3 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .18.

Last season have written BP * Age of respondent2**Crosstab**

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	3	51	18	72
		% within Age of respondent2	11.1%	18.8%	17.5%	18.0%
	Yes but not written	Count	19	171	62	252
		% within Age of respondent2	70.4%	63.1%	60.2%	62.8%
	No	Count	5	49	23	77
		% within Age of respondent2	18.5%	18.1%	22.3%	19.2%
Total	Count	27	271	103	401	
	% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.900 ^a	4	.754
Likelihood Ratio	1.980	4	.739
Linear-by-Linear Association	.098	1	.754
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.85.

Last season have written BP * Area1**Crosstab**

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Last season have written BP	Yes written plan	Count	5	37	30	72
		% within Area1	8.8%	18.7%	21.3%	18.2%
	Yes but not written	Count	30	133	85	248
		% within Area1	52.6%	67.2%	60.3%	62.6%
	No	Count	22	28	26	76
		% within Area1	38.6%	14.1%	18.4%	19.2%
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.089 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	17.456	4	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.073	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.36.

Last season stay or go * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	64	47	111
		% within Gender	37.4%	20.1%	27.4%
	Leave if threatened	Count	96	171	267
		% within Gender	56.1%	73.1%	65.9%
	DK	Count	11	16	27
		% within Gender	6.4%	6.8%	6.7%
Total		Count	171	234	405
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.164 ^a	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	15.059	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.425	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.40.

Last season stay or go * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	87	26	113
		% within Distance from bushland	28.3%	26.3%	27.8%
	Leave if threatened	Count	201	66	267
		% within Distance from bushland	65.5%	66.7%	65.8%
	DK	Count	19	7	26
		% within Distance from bushland	6.2%	7.1%	6.4%
Total		Count	307	99	406
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.223 ^a	2	.894
Likelihood Ratio	.223	2	.895
Linear-by-Linear Association	.220	1	.639
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.34.

Last season stay or go * Type of property**Crosstab**

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	52	60	112
		% within Type of property	20.1%	41.1%	27.7%
	Leave if threatened	Count	187	80	267
		% within Type of property	72.2%	54.8%	65.9%
	DK	Count	20	6	26
		% within Type of property	7.7%	4.1%	6.4%
Total	Count	259	146	405	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.105 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.683	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.112	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.37.

Last season stay or go * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	40	70	0	110
		% within Household type2	25.2%	29.0%	.0%	27.4%
	Leave if threatened	Count	110	154	1	265
		% within Household type2	69.2%	63.9%	100.0%	66.1%
	DK	Count	9	17	0	26
		% within Household type2	5.7%	7.1%	.0%	6.5%
Total		Count	159	241	1	401
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.734 ^a	4	.785
Likelihood Ratio	2.056	4	.725
Linear-by-Linear Association	.152	1	.697
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 3 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .06.

Last season stay or go * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	5	76	30	111
		% within Age of respondent2	19.2%	28.0%	29.1%	27.8%
	Leave if threatened	Count	18	180	65	263
		% within Age of respondent2	69.2%	66.4%	63.1%	65.8%
	DK	Count	3	15	8	26
		% within Age of respondent2	11.5%	5.5%	7.8%	6.5%
Total		Count	26	271	103	400
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.593 ^a	4	.628
Likelihood Ratio	2.488	4	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.389	1	.533
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.69.

Last season stay or go * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Last season stay or go	Stay and defend	Count	16	61	33	110
		% within Area1	28.1%	31.0%	23.4%	27.8%
	Leave if threatened	Count	34	126	99	259
		% within Area1	59.6%	64.0%	70.2%	65.6%
	DK	Count	7	10	9	26
		% within Area1	12.3%	5.1%	6.4%	6.6%
Total	Count	57	197	141	395	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.033 ^a	4	.197
Likelihood Ratio	5.548	4	.236
Linear-by-Linear Association	.152	1	.697
N of Valid Cases	395		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.75.

Last season when plan leave * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	16	17	33
		% within Gender	16.5%	9.9%	12.3%
	When advised by ES	Count	28	41	69
		% within Gender	28.9%	24.0%	25.7%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	34	61	95
		% within Gender	35.1%	35.7%	35.4%
	Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	18	46	64
		% within Gender	18.6%	26.9%	23.9%
	DK	Count	1	5	6
		% within Gender	1.0%	2.9%	2.2%
Other	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Gender	.0%	.6%	.4%	
Total	Count	97	171	268	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.102 ^a	5	.296
Likelihood Ratio	6.546	5	.257
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.866	1	.015
N of Valid Cases	268		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .36.

Last season when plan leave * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	19	14	33
		% within Distance from bushland	9.4%	21.2%	12.3%
	When advised by ES	Count	52	17	69
		% within Distance from bushland	25.7%	25.8%	25.7%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	72	23	95
		% within Distance from bushland	35.6%	34.8%	35.4%
Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	55	9	64	
	% within Distance from bushland	27.2%	13.6%	23.9%	
DK	Count	4	2	6	
	% within Distance from bushland	2.0%	3.0%	2.2%	
Other	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Distance from bushland	.0%	1.5%	.4%	
Total	Count	202	66	268	
	% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.794 ^a	5	.025
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	5	.030
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.336	1	.037
N of Valid Cases	268		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .25.

Last season when plan leave * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	23	10	33
		% within Type of property	12.2%	12.5%	12.3%
	When advised by ES	Count	52	17	69
		% within Type of property	27.7%	21.3%	25.7%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	69	26	95
		% within Type of property	36.7%	32.5%	35.4%
	Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	39	25	64
% within Type of property		20.7%	31.3%	23.9%	
DK	Count	5	1	6	
	% within Type of property	2.7%	1.3%	2.2%	
Other	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Type of property	.0%	1.3%	.4%	
Total	Count	188	80	268	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.620 ^a	5	.250
Likelihood Ratio	6.658	5	.247
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.569	1	.210
N of Valid Cases	268		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .30.

Last season when plan leave * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	9	24	0	33
		% within Household type2	8.1%	15.6%	.0%	12.4%
	When advised by ES	Count	30	38	0	68
		% within Household type2	27.0%	24.7%	.0%	25.6%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	45	49	1	95
		% within Household type2	40.5%	31.8%	100.0%	35.7%
	Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	26	37	0	63
		% within Household type2	23.4%	24.0%	.0%	23.7%
DK	Count	1	5	0	6	
	% within Household type2	.9%	3.2%	.0%	2.3%	
Other	Count	0	1	0	1	
	% within Household type2	.0%	.6%	.0%	.4%	
Total	Count	111	154	1	266	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.534 ^a	10	.577
Likelihood Ratio	9.474	10	.488
Linear-by-Linear Association	.140	1	.708
N of Valid Cases	266		

a. 10 cells (55.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .00.

Last season when plan leave * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	2	26	5	33
		% within Age of respondent2	10.5%	14.4%	7.7%	12.5%
	When advised by ES	Count	6	45	17	68
		% within Age of respondent2	31.6%	25.0%	26.2%	25.8%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	8	63	23	94
		% within Age of respondent2	42.1%	35.0%	35.4%	35.6%
	Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	2	44	17	63
% within Age of respondent2		10.5%	24.4%	26.2%	23.9%	
DK	Count	1	2	2	5	
	% within Age of respondent2	5.3%	1.1%	3.1%	1.9%	
Other	Count	0	0	1	1	
	% within Age of respondent2	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.4%	
Total	Count	19	180	65	264	
	% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.192 ^a	10	.514
Likelihood Ratio	9.099	10	.523
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.129	1	.145
N of Valid Cases	264		

a. 9 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

Last season when plan leave * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Last season when plan leave	When threatened	Count	5	16	11	32
		% within Area1	14.7%	12.6%	11.1%	12.3%
	When advised by ES	Count	13	25	29	67
		% within Area1	38.2%	19.7%	29.3%	25.8%
	When aware of fire in area	Count	13	47	33	93
		% within Area1	38.2%	37.0%	33.3%	35.8%
	Before/early on high fire danger day	Count	3	35	24	62
% within Area1		8.8%	27.6%	24.2%	23.8%	
DK	Count	0	3	2	5	
	% within Area1	.0%	2.4%	2.0%	1.9%	
Other	Count	0	1	0	1	
	% within Area1	.0%	.8%	.0%	.4%	
Total	Count	34	127	99	260	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.703 ^a	10	.381
Likelihood Ratio	12.602	10	.247
Linear-by-Linear Association	.980	1	.322
N of Valid Cases	260		

a. 7 cells (38.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

Now have written BP * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	41	51	92
		% within Gender	23.8%	21.8%	22.7%
	Yes but not written	Count	103	148	251
		% within Gender	59.9%	63.2%	61.8%
	No	Count	28	35	63
		% within Gender	16.3%	15.0%	15.5%
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.476 ^a	2	.788
Likelihood Ratio	.475	2	.789
Linear-by-Linear Association	.014	1	.907
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.69.

Now have written BP * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	73	19	92
		% within Distance from bushland	23.7%	19.2%	22.6%
	Yes but not written	Count	192	60	252
		% within Distance from bushland	62.3%	60.6%	61.9%
	No	Count	43	20	63
		% within Distance from bushland	14.0%	20.2%	15.5%
Total		Count	308	99	407
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.595 ^a	2	.273
Likelihood Ratio	2.510	2	.285
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.299	1	.129
N of Valid Cases	407		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.32.

Now have written BP * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	53	38	91
		% within Type of property	20.4%	26.0%	22.4%
	Yes but not written	Count	154	98	252
		% within Type of property	59.2%	67.1%	62.1%
	No	Count	53	10	63
		% within Type of property	20.4%	6.8%	15.5%
Total	Count	260	146	406	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.305 ^a	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	14.793	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.159	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.66.

Now have written BP * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	36	54	0	90
		% within Household type2	22.5%	22.4%	.0%	22.4%
	Yes but not written	Count	97	152	0	249
		% within Household type2	60.6%	63.1%	.0%	61.9%
	No	Count	27	35	1	63
		% within Household type2	16.9%	14.5%	100.0%	15.7%
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.827 ^a	4	.212
Likelihood Ratio	4.154	4	.386
Linear-by-Linear Association	.012	1	.911
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 3 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .16.

Now have written BP * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	2	65	23	90
		% within Age of respondent2	7.4%	24.0%	22.3%	22.4%
	Yes but not written	Count	14	176	59	249
		% within Age of respondent2	51.9%	64.9%	57.3%	62.1%
	No	Count	11	30	21	62
		% within Age of respondent2	40.7%	11.1%	20.4%	15.5%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.359 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.082	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	.748	1	.387
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.17.

Now have written BP * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Now have written BP	Yes have written plan	Count	10	45	34	89
		% within Area1	17.5%	22.7%	24.1%	22.5%
	Yes but not written	Count	36	122	86	244
		% within Area1	63.2%	61.6%	61.0%	61.6%
	No	Count	11	31	21	63
		% within Area1	19.3%	15.7%	14.9%	15.9%
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.332 ^a	4	.856
Likelihood Ratio	1.355	4	.852
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.050	1	.305
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.07.

Discussed BP * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	39	46	85
		% within Gender	95.1%	90.2%	92.4%
	No	Count	2	5	7
		% within Gender	4.9%	9.8%	7.6%
Total	Count	41	51	92	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.784 ^b	1	.376		
Continuity Correction ^a	.240	1	.624		
Likelihood Ratio	.816	1	.366		
Fisher's Exact Test				.455	.317
Linear-by-Linear Association	.776	1	.378		
N of Valid Cases	92				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.12.

Discussed BP * Distance from bush land**Crosstab**

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	66	19	85
		% within Distance from bushland	90.4%	100.0%	92.4%
	No	Count	7	0	7
		% within Distance from bushland	9.6%	.0%	7.6%
Total		Count	73	19	92
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.972 ^b	1	.160		
Continuity Correction ^a	.844	1	.358		
Likelihood Ratio	3.386	1	.066		
Fisher's Exact Test				.338	.186
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.951	1	.163		
N of Valid Cases	92				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.45.

Discussed BP * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	48	36	84
		% within Type of property	90.6%	94.7%	92.3%
	No	Count	5	2	7
		% within Type of property	9.4%	5.3%	7.7%
Total		Count	53	38	91
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.542 ^b	1	.462		
Continuity Correction ^a	.114	1	.736		
Likelihood Ratio	.565	1	.452		
Fisher's Exact Test				.695	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.536	1	.464		
N of Valid Cases	91				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.92.

Discussed BP * Household type2**Crosstab**

			Household type2		Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	36	47	83
		% within Household type2	100.0%	87.0%	92.2%
	No	Count	0	7	7
		% within Household type2	.0%	13.0%	7.8%
Total		Count	36	54	90
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.060 ^b	1	.024		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.414	1	.065		
Likelihood Ratio	7.542	1	.006		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.024
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.004	1	.025		
N of Valid Cases	90				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

Discussed BP * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	1	62	20	83
		% within Age of respondent2	50.0%	95.4%	87.0%	92.2%
	No	Count	1	3	3	7
		% within Age of respondent2	50.0%	4.6%	13.0%	7.8%
Total		Count	2	65	23	90
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.766 ^a	2	.034
Likelihood Ratio	4.297	2	.117
Linear-by-Linear Association	.092	1	.761
N of Valid Cases	90		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .16.

Discussed BP * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Discussed BP	Yes	Count	9	43	30	82
		% within Area1	90.0%	95.6%	88.2%	92.1%
	No	Count	1	2	4	7
		% within Area1	10.0%	4.4%	11.8%	7.9%
Total	Count	10	45	34	89	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.503 ^a	2	.472
Likelihood Ratio	1.537	2	.464
Linear-by-Linear Association	.450	1	.502
N of Valid Cases	89		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .79.

Practiced BP * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	25	23	48
		% within Gender	61.0%	46.0%	52.7%
	No	Count	16	27	43
		% within Gender	39.0%	54.0%	47.3%
Total	Count	41	50	91	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.027 ^b	1	.155		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.471	1	.225		
Likelihood Ratio	2.037	1	.153		
Fisher's Exact Test				.206	.112
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.005	1	.157		
N of Valid Cases	91				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.37.

Practised BP * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	40	8	48
		% within Distance from bushland	54.8%	44.4%	52.7%
	No	Count	33	10	43
		% within Distance from bushland	45.2%	55.6%	47.3%
Total		Count	73	18	91
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.621 ^b	1	.431		
Continuity Correction ^a	.275	1	.600		
Likelihood Ratio	.620	1	.431		
Fisher's Exact Test				.445	.300
Linear-by-Linear Association	.614	1	.433		
N of Valid Cases	91				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.51.

Practised BP * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	23	25	48
		% within Type of property	44.2%	65.8%	53.3%
	No	Count	29	13	42
		% within Type of property	55.8%	34.2%	46.7%
Total		Count	52	38	90
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.100 ^b	1	.043		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.280	1	.070		
Likelihood Ratio	4.149	1	.042		
Fisher's Exact Test				.055	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.054	1	.044		
N of Valid Cases	90				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.73.

Practiced BP * Household type2**Crosstab**

			Household type2		Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	17	30	47
		% within Household type2	47.2%	56.6%	52.8%
	No	Count	19	23	42
		% within Household type2	52.8%	43.4%	47.2%
Total		Count	36	53	89
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.757 ^b	1	.384		
Continuity Correction ^a	.427	1	.513		
Likelihood Ratio	.757	1	.384		
Fisher's Exact Test				.397	.257
Linear-by-Linear Association	.749	1	.387		
N of Valid Cases	89				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.99.

Practiced BP * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	1	30	16	47
		% within Age of respondent2	50.0%	46.2%	72.7%	52.8%
	No	Count	1	35	6	42
		% within Age of respondent2	50.0%	53.8%	27.3%	47.2%
Total		Count	2	65	22	89
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.664 ^a	2	.097
Likelihood Ratio	4.821	2	.090
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.007	1	.045
N of Valid Cases	89		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .94.

Practised BP * Area1**Crosstab**

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Practised BP	Yes	Count	5	24	17	46
		% within Area1	55.6%	53.3%	50.0%	52.3%
	No	Count	4	21	17	42
		% within Area1	44.4%	46.7%	50.0%	47.7%
Total		Count	9	45	34	88
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.130 ^a	2	.937
Likelihood Ratio	.130	2	.937
Linear-by-Linear Association	.126	1	.723
N of Valid Cases	88		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.30.

Know highest FDR * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	108	142	250
		% within Gender	62.8%	60.7%	61.6%
	Other colour	Count	2	4	6
		% within Gender	1.2%	1.7%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	25	36	61
		% within Gender	14.5%	15.4%	15.0%
	Other	Count	1	0	1
		% within Gender	.6%	.0%	.2%
	DK	Count	36	52	88
		% within Gender	20.9%	22.2%	21.7%
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.756 ^a	4	.780
Likelihood Ratio	2.119	4	.714
Linear-by-Linear Association	.118	1	.731
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .42.

Know highest FDR * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	193	56	249
		% within Distance from bushland	63.1%	56.6%	61.5%
	Other colour	Count	5	1	6
		% within Distance from bushland	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	39	22	61
		% within Distance from bushland	12.7%	22.2%	15.1%
Other	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Distance from bushland	.3%	.0%	.2%	
DK	Count	68	20	88	
	% within Distance from bushland	22.2%	20.2%	21.7%	
Total	Count	306	99	405	
	% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.636 ^a	4	.228
Likelihood Ratio	5.528	4	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	.238	1	.626
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .24.

Know highest FDR * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	154	94	248
		% within Type of property	59.2%	65.3%	61.4%
	Other colour	Count	5	1	6
		% within Type of property	1.9%	.7%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	40	21	61
% within Type of property		15.4%	14.6%	15.1%	
Other	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Type of property	.0%	.7%	.2%	
DK	Count	61	27	88	
	% within Type of property	23.5%	18.8%	21.8%	
Total	Count	260	144	404	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.283 ^a	4	.369
Likelihood Ratio	4.680	4	.322
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .36.

Know highest FDR * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	106	142	1	249
		% within Household type2	66.3%	58.9%	100.0%	61.9%
	Other colour	Count	3	3	0	6
		% within Household type2	1.9%	1.2%	.0%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	19	42	0	61
% within Household type2		11.9%	17.4%	.0%	15.2%	
Other	Count	0	1	0	1	
	% within Household type2	.0%	.4%	.0%	.2%	
DK	Count	32	53	0	85	
	% within Household type2	20.0%	22.0%	.0%	21.1%	
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.505 ^a	8	.809
Likelihood Ratio	5.248	8	.731
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.145	1	.285
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 9 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .00.

Know highest FDR * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	17	173	57	247
		% within Age of respondent2	63.0%	63.8%	55.3%	61.6%
	Other colour	Count	1	5	0	6
		% within Age of respondent2	3.7%	1.8%	.0%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	2	40	19	61
	% within Age of respondent2	7.4%	14.8%	18.4%	15.2%	
	Other	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within Age of respondent2	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.2%
	DK	Count	7	53	26	86
		% within Age of respondent2	25.9%	19.6%	25.2%	21.4%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.639 ^a	8	.291
Likelihood Ratio	10.923	8	.206
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.558	1	.212
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 7 cells (46.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

Know highest FDR * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Know highest FDR	Code Red	Count	31	131	83	245
		% within Area1	54.4%	66.2%	58.9%	61.9%
	Other colour	Count	1	4	1	6
		% within Area1	1.8%	2.0%	.7%	1.5%
	Other description	Count	9	26	24	59
		% within Area1	15.8%	13.1%	17.0%	14.9%
	Other	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within Area1	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	DK	Count	15	37	33	85
		% within Area1	26.3%	18.7%	23.4%	21.5%
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.674 ^a	8	.221
Likelihood Ratio	8.714	8	.367
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.998
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 6 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

Effectiveness of FDR * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	22	17	39
		% within Gender	12.8%	7.3%	9.6%
	Slightly effective	Count	19	26	45
		% within Gender	11.0%	11.1%	11.1%
	Somewhat effective	Count	58	76	134
		% within Gender	33.7%	32.5%	33.0%
	Very effective	Count	40	86	126
		% within Gender	23.3%	36.8%	31.0%
	Extremely effective	Count	13	4	17
		% within Gender	7.6%	1.7%	4.2%
DK	Count	20	25	45	
	% within Gender	11.6%	10.7%	11.1%	
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.195 ^a	5	.004
Likelihood Ratio	17.418	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	.547	1	.460
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.20.

Effectiveness of FDR * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	29	10	39
		% within Distance from bushland	9.5%	10.1%	9.6%
	Slightly effective	Count	37	7	44
		% within Distance from bushland	12.1%	7.1%	10.9%
	Somewhat effective	Count	102	32	134
		% within Distance from bushland	33.3%	32.3%	33.1%
Very effective	Count	92	34	126	
	% within Distance from bushland	30.1%	34.3%	31.1%	
Extremely effective	Count	11	6	17	
	% within Distance from bushland	3.6%	6.1%	4.2%	
DK	Count	35	10	45	
	% within Distance from bushland	11.4%	10.1%	11.1%	
Total		Count	306	99	405
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.433 ^a	5	.634
Likelihood Ratio	3.511	5	.622
Linear-by-Linear Association	.335	1	.562
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.16.

Effectiveness of FDR * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	27	12	39
		% within Type of property	10.4%	8.3%	9.7%
	Slightly effective	Count	26	18	44
		% within Type of property	10.0%	12.5%	10.9%
	Somewhat effective	Count	86	48	134
		% within Type of property	33.1%	33.3%	33.2%
	Very effective	Count	77	49	126
% within Type of property		29.6%	34.0%	31.2%	
Extremely effective	Count	9	7	16	
	% within Type of property	3.5%	4.9%	4.0%	
DK	Count	35	10	45	
	% within Type of property	13.5%	6.9%	11.1%	
Total	Count	260	144	404	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.508 ^a	5	.357
Likelihood Ratio	5.763	5	.330
Linear-by-Linear Association	.596	1	.440
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.70.

Effectiveness of FDR * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	16	22	1	39
		% within Household type2	10.0%	9.1%	100.0%	9.7%
	Slightly effective	Count	20	25	0	45
		% within Household type2	12.5%	10.4%	.0%	11.2%
	Somewhat effective	Count	52	80	0	132
		% within Household type2	32.5%	33.2%	.0%	32.8%
	Very effective	Count	54	72	0	126
% within Household type2		33.8%	29.9%	.0%	31.3%	
Extremely effective	Count	3	14	0	17	
	% within Household type2	1.9%	5.8%	.0%	4.2%	
DK	Count	15	28	0	43	
	% within Household type2	9.4%	11.6%	.0%	10.7%	
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.241 ^a	10	.162
Likelihood Ratio	10.003	10	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	.651	1	.420
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Effectiveness of FDR * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	1	28	9	38
		% within Age of respondent2	3.7%	10.3%	8.7%	9.5%
	Slightly effective	Count	2	32	11	45
		% within Age of respondent2	7.4%	11.8%	10.7%	11.2%
	Somewhat effective	Count	14	87	32	133
		% within Age of respondent2	51.9%	32.1%	31.1%	33.2%
	Very effective	Count	5	96	24	125
% within Age of respondent2		18.5%	35.4%	23.3%	31.2%	
Extremely effective	Count	0	9	8	17	
	% within Age of respondent2	.0%	3.3%	7.8%	4.2%	
DK	Count	5	19	19	43	
	% within Age of respondent2	18.5%	7.0%	18.4%	10.7%	
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.205 ^a	10	.005
Likelihood Ratio	25.264	10	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.025	1	.155
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 5 cells (27.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.14.

Effectiveness of FDR * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Effectiveness of FDR	Not at all	Count	5	17	17	39
		% within Area1	8.8%	8.6%	12.1%	9.8%
	Slightly effective	Count	5	27	13	45
		% within Area1	8.8%	13.6%	9.2%	11.4%
	Somewhat effective	Count	17	67	47	131
		% within Area1	29.8%	33.8%	33.3%	33.1%
	Very effective	Count	19	60	45	124
% within Area1		33.3%	30.3%	31.9%	31.3%	
Extremely effective	Count	2	9	6	17	
	% within Area1	3.5%	4.5%	4.3%	4.3%	
DK	Count	9	18	13	40	
	% within Area1	15.8%	9.1%	9.2%	10.1%	
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.514 ^a	10	.854
Likelihood Ratio	5.248	10	.874
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.129	1	.288
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (5.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.45.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	88	158	246
		% within Gender	51.2%	67.5%	60.6%
	No	Count	67	60	127
		% within Gender	39.0%	25.6%	31.3%
	DK	Count	17	16	33
		% within Gender	9.9%	6.8%	8.1%
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.126 ^a	2	.004
Likelihood Ratio	11.108	2	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.039	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	406		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.98.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Distance from bush land**Crosstab**

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	187	58	245
		% within Distance from bushland	61.1%	58.6%	60.5%
	No	Count	91	36	127
		% within Distance from bushland	29.7%	36.4%	31.4%
	DK	Count	28	5	33
		% within Distance from bushland	9.2%	5.1%	8.1%
Total		Count	306	99	405
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.669 ^a	2	.263
Likelihood Ratio	2.805	2	.246
Linear-by-Linear Association	.045	1	.832
N of Valid Cases	405		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.07.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	159	86	245
		% within Type of property	61.2%	59.7%	60.6%
	No	Count	77	49	126
		% within Type of property	29.6%	34.0%	31.2%
	DK	Count	24	9	33
		% within Type of property	9.2%	6.3%	8.2%
Total	Count	260	144	404	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.618 ^a	2	.445
Likelihood Ratio	1.654	2	.437
Linear-by-Linear Association	.054	1	.817
N of Valid Cases	404		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.76.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Household type2**Crosstab**

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	98	144	1	243
		% within Household type2	61.3%	59.8%	100.0%	60.4%
	No	Count	49	78	0	127
		% within Household type2	30.6%	32.4%	.0%	31.6%
	DK	Count	13	19	0	32
		% within Household type2	8.1%	7.9%	.0%	8.0%
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.791 ^a	4	.940
Likelihood Ratio	1.143	4	.887
Linear-by-Linear Association	.007	1	.932
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 3 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .08.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	12	169	62	243
		% within Age of respondent2	44.4%	62.4%	60.2%	60.6%
	No	Count	8	85	34	127
		% within Age of respondent2	29.6%	31.4%	33.0%	31.7%
	DK	Count	7	17	7	31
		% within Age of respondent2	25.9%	6.3%	6.8%	7.7%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.830 ^a	4	.008
Likelihood Ratio	9.558	4	.049
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.061	1	.151
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.09.

Plan to leave on Code Red Day * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Plan to leave on Code Red Day	Yes	Count	27	131	82	240
		% within Area1	47.4%	66.2%	58.2%	60.6%
	No	Count	25	51	49	125
		% within Area1	43.9%	25.8%	34.8%	31.6%
	DK	Count	5	16	10	31
		% within Area1	8.8%	8.1%	7.1%	7.8%
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.273 ^a	4	.082
Likelihood Ratio	8.212	4	.084
Linear-by-Linear Association	.438	1	.508
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.46.

When plan to leave * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count	27	47	74
		% within Gender	30.7%	29.7%	30.1%
	When advised by ES	Count	18	35	53
		% within Gender	20.5%	22.2%	21.5%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count	30	62	92
		% within Gender	34.1%	39.2%	37.4%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count	10	8	18
		% within Gender	11.4%	5.1%	7.3%
	DK	Count	1	5	6
		% within Gender	1.1%	3.2%	2.4%
	Other	Count	2	1	3
		% within Gender	2.3%	.6%	1.2%
Total	Count	88	158	246	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.758 ^a	5	.330
Likelihood Ratio	5.667	5	.340
Linear-by-Linear Association	.217	1	.641
N of Valid Cases	246		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

When plan to leave * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count	64	10	74
		% within Distance from bushland	34.2%	17.2%	30.2%
	When advised by ES	Count	35	17	52
		% within Distance from bushland	18.7%	29.3%	21.2%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count	68	24	92
		% within Distance from bushland	36.4%	41.4%	37.6%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count	13	5	18
		% within Distance from bushland	7.0%	8.6%	7.3%
	DK	Count	4	2	6
		% within Distance from bushland	2.1%	3.4%	2.4%
	Other	Count	3	0	3
		% within Distance from bushland	1.6%	.0%	1.2%
Total		Count	187	58	245
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.273 ^a	5	.142
Likelihood Ratio	9.314	5	.097
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.812	1	.178
N of Valid Cases	245		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .71.

When plan to leave * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count	48	26	74
		% within Type of property	30.2%	30.2%	30.2%
	When advised by ES	Count	38	14	52
		% within Type of property	23.9%	16.3%	21.2%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count	56	36	92
		% within Type of property	35.2%	41.9%	37.6%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count	10	8	18
% within Type of property		6.3%	9.3%	7.3%	
DK	Count	5	1	6	
	% within Type of property	3.1%	1.2%	2.4%	
Other	Count	2	1	3	
	% within Type of property	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%	
Total	Count	159	86	245	
	% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.771 ^a	5	.583
Likelihood Ratio	3.920	5	.561
Linear-by-Linear Association	.173	1	.677
N of Valid Cases	245		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.05.

When plan to leave * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count % within Household type2	30 30.6%	43 29.9%	0 .0%	77 30.0%
	When advised by ES	Count % within Household type2	23 23.5%	29 20.1%	0 .0%	52 21.4%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count % within Household type2	35 35.7%	55 38.2%	1 100.0%	91 37.4%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count % within Household type2	6 6.1%	12 8.3%	0 .0%	18 7.4%
	DK	Count % within Household type2	3 3.1%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	6 2.5%
	Other	Count % within Household type2	1 1.0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	3 1.2%
	Total	Count % within Household type2	98 100.0%	144 100.0%	1 100.0%	243 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.761 ^a	10	.987
Likelihood Ratio	3.056	10	.980
Linear-by-Linear Association	.267	1	.606
N of Valid Cases	243		

a. 10 cells (55.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

When plan to leave * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count	2	51	19	72
		% within Age of respondent2	16.7%	30.2%	30.6%	29.6%
	When advised by ES	Count	4	35	13	52
		% within Age of respondent2	33.3%	20.7%	21.0%	21.4%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count	6	63	23	92
		% within Age of respondent2	50.0%	37.3%	37.1%	37.9%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count	0	13	5	18
% within Age of respondent2		.0%	7.7%	8.1%	7.4%	
DK	Count	0	5	1	6	
	% within Age of respondent2	.0%	3.0%	1.6%	2.5%	
Other	Count	0	2	1	3	
	% within Age of respondent2	.0%	1.2%	1.6%	1.2%	
Total		Count	12	169	62	243
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.864 ^a	10	.953
Likelihood Ratio	5.175	10	.879
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	243		

a. 11 cells (61.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .15.

When plan to leave * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
When plan to leave	Night before Code Red Day	Count	4	36	31	71
		% within Area1	14.8%	27.5%	37.8%	29.6%
	When advised by ES	Count	15	22	15	52
		% within Area1	55.6%	16.8%	18.3%	21.7%
	Morning of Code Red day	Count	7	56	29	92
		% within Area1	25.9%	42.7%	35.4%	38.3%
	Sometime on Code Red day	Count	1	11	5	17
% within Area1		3.7%	8.4%	6.1%	7.1%	
DK	Count	0	3	2	5	
	% within Area1	.0%	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%	
Other	Count	0	3	0	3	
	% within Area1	.0%	2.3%	.0%	1.3%	
Total	Count	27	131	82	240	
	% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.179 ^a	10	.004
Likelihood Ratio	24.163	10	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	.803	1	.370
N of Valid Cases	240		

a. 7 cells (38.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

Bushfire could impact * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	9	8	17
		% within Gender	5.3%	3.4%	4.2%
	Agree	Count	162	226	388
		% within Gender	94.7%	96.6%	95.8%
Total	Count	171	234	405	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.836 ^b	1	.361		
Continuity Correction ^a	.440	1	.507		
Likelihood Ratio	.824	1	.364		
Fisher's Exact Test				.453	.252
Linear-by-Linear Association	.834	1	.361		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.18.

Bushfire could impact * Distance from bush land**Crosstab**

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	11	6	17
		% within Distance from bushland	3.6%	6.1%	4.2%
	Agree	Count	296	93	389
		% within Distance from bushland	96.4%	93.9%	95.8%
Total		Count	307	99	406
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.145 ^b	1	.285		
Continuity Correction ^a	.611	1	.434		
Likelihood Ratio	1.058	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test				.384	.212
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.143	1	.285		
N of Valid Cases	406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.15.

Bushfire could impact * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	12	5	17
		% within Type of property	4.6%	3.4%	4.2%
	Agree	Count	248	140	388
		% within Type of property	95.4%	96.6%	95.8%
Total		Count	260	145	405
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.315 ^b	1	.574		
Continuity Correction ^a	.092	1	.762		
Likelihood Ratio	.325	1	.569		
Fisher's Exact Test				.797	.390
Linear-by-Linear Association	.315	1	.575		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.09.

Bushfire could impact * Household type2**Crosstab**

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	5	12	0	17
		% within Household type2	3.1%	5.0%	.0%	4.2%
	Agree	Count	155	228	1	384
		% within Household type2	96.9%	95.0%	100.0%	95.8%
Total		Count	160	240	1	401
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.876 ^a	2	.645
Likelihood Ratio	.948	2	.623
Linear-by-Linear Association	.760	1	.383
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Bushfire could impact * Age of respondent2**Crosstab**

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	2	5	10	17
		% within Age of respondent2	7.4%	1.8%	9.8%	4.3%
	Agree	Count	25	266	92	383
		% within Age of respondent2	92.6%	98.2%	90.2%	95.8%
Total		Count	27	271	102	400
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.245 ^a	2	.002
Likelihood Ratio	11.121	2	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.939	1	.026
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

Bushfire could impact * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Bushfire could impact	Disagree	Count	3	8	6	17
		% within Area1	5.3%	4.1%	4.3%	4.3%
	Agree	Count	54	189	135	378
		% within Area1	94.7%	95.9%	95.7%	95.7%
Total		Count	57	197	141	395
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.156 ^a	2	.925
Likelihood Ratio	.149	2	.928
Linear-by-Linear Association	.051	1	.822
N of Valid Cases	395		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.45.

Feel sick * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	80	42	122
		% within Gender	46.8%	17.9%	30.1%
	Agree	Count	91	192	283
		% within Gender	53.2%	82.1%	69.9%
Total		Count	171	234	405
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	39.026 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	37.668	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	39.048	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	38.930	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 51.51.

Feel sick * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	90	31	121
		% within Distance from bushland	29.3%	31.3%	29.8%
	Agree	Count	217	68	285
		% within Distance from bushland	70.7%	68.7%	70.2%
Total		Count	307	99	406
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.143 ^b	1	.706		
Continuity Correction ^a	.063	1	.801		
Likelihood Ratio	.142	1	.706		
Fisher's Exact Test				.706	.398
Linear-by-Linear Association	.142	1	.706		
N of Valid Cases	406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.50.

Feel sick * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	75	46	121
		% within Type of property	28.8%	31.7%	29.9%
	Agree	Count	185	99	284
		% within Type of property	71.2%	68.3%	70.1%
Total		Count	260	145	405
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.368 ^b	1	.544		
Continuity Correction ^a	.243	1	.622		
Likelihood Ratio	.366	1	.545		
Fisher's Exact Test				.572	.310
Linear-by-Linear Association	.367	1	.545		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 43.32.

Feel sick * Household type2**Crosstab**

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	45	76	0	121
		% within Household type2	28.1%	31.7%	.0%	30.2%
	Agree	Count	115	164	1	280
		% within Household type2	71.9%	68.3%	100.0%	69.8%
Total		Count	160	240	1	401
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.005 ^a	2	.605
Likelihood Ratio	1.293	2	.524
Linear-by-Linear Association	.429	1	.513
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .30.

Feel sick * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	4	78	38	120
		% within Age of respondent2	14.8%	28.8%	37.3%	30.0%
	Agree	Count	23	193	64	280
		% within Age of respondent2	85.2%	71.2%	62.7%	70.0%
Total		Count	27	271	102	400
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.713 ^a	2	.057
Likelihood Ratio	6.035	2	.049
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.465	1	.019
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.10.

Feel sick * Area1**Crosstab**

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Feel sick	Disagree	Count	13	63	43	119
		% within Area1	22.8%	32.0%	30.5%	30.1%
	Agree	Count	44	134	98	276
		% within Area1	77.2%	68.0%	69.5%	69.9%
Total		Count	57	197	141	395
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.781 ^a	2	.410
Likelihood Ratio	1.857	2	.395
Linear-by-Linear Association	.580	1	.447
N of Valid Cases	395		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.17.

Accept responsibility * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	6	9	15
		% within Gender	3.5%	3.9%	3.7%
	Agree	Count	166	224	390
		% within Gender	96.5%	96.1%	96.3%
Total	Count	172	233	405	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.039 ^b	1	.844		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.039	1	.843		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.532
Linear-by-Linear Association	.039	1	.844		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.37.

Accept responsibility * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	11	4	15
		% within Distance from bushland	3.6%	4.0%	3.7%
	Agree	Count	296	95	391
		% within Distance from bushland	96.4%	96.0%	96.3%
Total	Count	307	99	406	
	% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.044 ^b	1	.834		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.043	1	.835		
Fisher's Exact Test				.766	.518
Linear-by-Linear Association	.044	1	.834		
N of Valid Cases	406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.66.

Accept responsibility * Type of property**Crosstab**

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	9	6	15
		% within Type of property	3.5%	4.1%	3.7%
	Agree	Count	250	140	390
		% within Type of property	96.5%	95.9%	96.3%
Total		Count	259	146	405
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.105 ^b	1	.745		
Continuity Correction ^a	.003	1	.960		
Likelihood Ratio	.104	1	.747		
Fisher's Exact Test				.787	.470
Linear-by-Linear Association	.105	1	.746		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.41.

Accept responsibility * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	6	9	0	15
		% within Household type2	3.8%	3.7%	.0%	3.7%
	Agree	Count	153	232	1	386
		% within Household type2	96.2%	96.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Total		Count	159	241	1	401
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.039 ^a	2	.981
Likelihood Ratio	.077	2	.962
Linear-by-Linear Association	.002	1	.962
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Accept responsibility * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	2	10	3	15
		% within Age of respondent2	7.4%	3.7%	2.9%	3.8%
	Agree	Count	25	261	99	385
		% within Age of respondent2	92.6%	96.3%	97.1%	96.3%
Total		Count	27	271	102	400
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.188 ^a	2	.552
Likelihood Ratio	.988	2	.610
Linear-by-Linear Association	.790	1	.374
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.01.

Accept responsibility * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Accept responsibility	Disagree	Count	3	11	1	15
		% within Area1	5.3%	5.6%	.7%	3.8%
	Agree	Count	54	187	139	380
		% within Area1	94.7%	94.4%	99.3%	96.2%
Total		Count	57	198	140	395
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.653 ^a	2	.059
Likelihood Ratio	7.201	2	.027
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.036	1	.045
N of Valid Cases	395		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.16.

Need to be self sufficient * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	13	11	24
		% within Gender	7.6%	4.7%	5.9%
	Agree	Count	158	222	380
		% within Gender	92.4%	95.3%	94.1%
Total		Count	171	233	404
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.465 ^b	1	.226		
Continuity Correction ^a	.995	1	.319		
Likelihood Ratio	1.445	1	.229		
Fisher's Exact Test				.287	.159
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.462	1	.227		
N of Valid Cases	404				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.16.

Need to be self sufficient * Distance from bush land**Crosstab**

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	18	6	24
		% within Distance from bushland	5.9%	6.1%	5.9%
	Agree	Count	288	93	381
		% within Distance from bushland	94.1%	93.9%	94.1%
Total		Count	306	99	405
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.004 ^b	1	.948		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.004	1	.948		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.556
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948		
N of Valid Cases	405				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.87.

Need to be self sufficient * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	15	9	24
		% within Type of property	5.8%	6.2%	5.9%
	Agree	Count	244	136	380
		% within Type of property	94.2%	93.8%	94.1%
Total		Count	259	145	404
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.029 ^b	1	.865		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.029	1	.866		
Fisher's Exact Test				.831	.512
Linear-by-Linear Association	.029	1	.866		
N of Valid Cases	404				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.61.

Need to be self sufficient * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	8	15	1	24
		% within Household type2	5.0%	6.3%	100.0%	6.0%
	Agree	Count	152	224	0	376
		% within Household type2	95.0%	93.7%	.0%	94.0%
Total		Count	160	239	1	400
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.983 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	5.958	2	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.167	1	.280
N of Valid Cases	400		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .06.

Need to be self sufficient * Age of respondent2**Crosstab**

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	3	15	6	24
		% within Age of respondent2	11.1%	5.6%	5.9%	6.0%
	Agree	Count	24	255	96	375
		% within Age of respondent2	88.9%	94.4%	94.1%	94.0%
Total		Count	27	270	102	399
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.344 ^a	2	.511
Likelihood Ratio	1.113	2	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.351	1	.554
N of Valid Cases	399		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.62.

Need to be self sufficient * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Need to be self sufficient	Disagree	Count	7	11	6	24
		% within Area1	12.3%	5.6%	4.3%	6.1%
	Agree	Count	50	186	134	370
		% within Area1	87.7%	94.4%	95.7%	93.9%
Total		Count	57	197	140	394
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.704 ^a	2	.095
Likelihood Ratio	3.974	2	.137
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.562	1	.059
N of Valid Cases	394		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.47.

Worry about affected * Gender**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	66	41	107
		% within Gender	38.4%	17.5%	26.4%
	Agree	Count	106	193	299
		% within Gender	61.6%	82.5%	73.6%
Total		Count	172	234	406
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.205 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	21.144	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.075	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.151	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 45.33.

Worry about affected * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	80	27	107
		% within Distance from bushland	26.0%	27.3%	26.3%
	Agree	Count	228	72	300
		% within Distance from bushland	74.0%	72.7%	73.7%
Total		Count	308	99	407
		% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.065 ^b	1	.798		
Continuity Correction ^a	.015	1	.901		
Likelihood Ratio	.065	1	.799		
Fisher's Exact Test				.794	.446
Linear-by-Linear Association	.065	1	.799		
N of Valid Cases	407				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.03.

Worry about affected * Type of property

Crosstab

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	73	34	107
		% within Type of property	28.1%	23.3%	26.4%
	Agree	Count	187	112	299
		% within Type of property	71.9%	76.7%	73.6%
Total		Count	260	146	406
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.105 ^b	1	.293		
Continuity Correction ^a	.872	1	.350		
Likelihood Ratio	1.119	1	.290		
Fisher's Exact Test				.348	.175
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.102	1	.294		
N of Valid Cases	406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 38.48.

Worry about affected * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	42	64	0	106
		% within Household type2	26.3%	26.6%	.0%	26.4%
	Agree	Count	118	177	1	296
		% within Household type2	73.8%	73.4%	100.0%	73.6%
Total		Count	160	241	1	402
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.364 ^a	2	.834
Likelihood Ratio	.618	2	.734
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.986
N of Valid Cases	402		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .26.

Worry about affected * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	5	67	33	105
		% within Age of respondent2	18.5%	24.7%	32.0%	26.2%
	Agree	Count	22	204	70	296
		% within Age of respondent2	81.5%	75.3%	68.0%	73.8%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.947 ^a	2	.229
Likelihood Ratio	2.936	2	.230
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.929	1	.087
N of Valid Cases	401		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.07.

Worry about affected * Area1**Crosstab**

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Worry about affected	Disagree	Count	15	48	40	103
		% within Area1	26.3%	24.2%	28.4%	26.0%
	Agree	Count	42	150	101	293
		% within Area1	73.7%	75.8%	71.6%	74.0%
Total		Count	57	198	141	396
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.732 ^a	2	.694
Likelihood Ratio	.729	2	.694
Linear-by-Linear Association	.286	1	.593
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.83.

Spend time thinking * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	46	54	100
		% within Gender	26.9%	23.4%	24.9%
	Agree	Count	125	177	302
		% within Gender	73.1%	76.6%	75.1%
Total	Count	171	231	402	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.653 ^b	1	.419		
Continuity Correction ^a	.478	1	.489		
Likelihood Ratio	.650	1	.420		
Fisher's Exact Test				.484	.244
Linear-by-Linear Association	.651	1	.420		
N of Valid Cases	402				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 42.54.

Spend time thinking * Distance from bush land

Crosstab

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	71	29	100
		% within Distance from bushland	23.2%	29.9%	24.8%
	Agree	Count	235	68	303
		% within Distance from bushland	76.8%	70.1%	75.2%
Total	Count	306	97	403	
	% within Distance from bushland	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^b	1	.183		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.429	1	.232		
Likelihood Ratio	1.721	1	.190		
Fisher's Exact Test				.224	.117
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.765	1	.184		
N of Valid Cases	403				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.07.

Spend time thinking * Type of property**Crosstab**

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	72	28	100
		% within Type of property	28.0%	19.3%	24.9%
	Agree	Count	185	117	302
		% within Type of property	72.0%	80.7%	75.1%
Total		Count	257	145	402
		% within Type of property	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.759 ^b	1	.053		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.308	1	.069		
Likelihood Ratio	3.861	1	.049		
Fisher's Exact Test				.055	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.750	1	.053		
N of Valid Cases	402				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.07.

Spend time thinking * Household type2

Crosstab

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	42	57	1	100
		% within Household type2	26.4%	23.9%	100.0%	25.1%
	Agree	Count	117	181	0	298
		% within Household type2	73.6%	76.1%	.0%	74.9%
Total		Count	159	238	1	398
		% within Household type2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.296 ^a	2	.192
Likelihood Ratio	3.078	2	.215
Linear-by-Linear Association	.092	1	.761
N of Valid Cases	398		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .25.

Spend time thinking * Age of respondent2

Crosstab

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	9	64	26	99
		% within Age of respondent2	33.3%	23.9%	25.5%	24.9%
	Agree	Count	18	204	76	298
		% within Age of respondent2	66.7%	76.1%	74.5%	75.1%
Total		Count	27	268	102	397
		% within Age of respondent2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.193 ^a	2	.551
Likelihood Ratio	1.131	2	.568
Linear-by-Linear Association	.135	1	.714
N of Valid Cases	397		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.73.

Spend time thinking * Area1

Crosstab

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Spend time thinking	Disagree	Count	11	48	38	97
		% within Area1	19.6%	24.6%	27.0%	24.7%
	Agree	Count	45	147	103	295
		% within Area1	80.4%	75.4%	73.0%	75.3%
Total		Count	56	195	141	392
		% within Area1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.153 ^a	2	.562
Likelihood Ratio	1.187	2	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.068	1	.301
N of Valid Cases	392		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.86.

Multiple Response

[DataSet1] H:\CFA\Monitor2010\DataFinDecNoCFA.sav

Case Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$NoBP*Q16	312	76.5%	96	23.5%	408	100.0%

\$NoBP*Q16 Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	42	58	100
		% within Q16	32.1%	32.0%	
		% of Total	13.5%	18.6%	32.1%
	Not got around to it/not priority	Count	14	28	42
		% within Q16	10.7%	15.5%	
		% of Total	4.5%	9.0%	13.5%
	Don't need written/remember	Count	20	26	46
		% within Q16	15.3%	14.4%	
		% of Total	6.4%	8.3%	14.7%
	Can't plan/play by ear	Count	11	5	16
		% within Q16	8.4%	2.8%	
		% of Total	3.5%	1.6%	5.1%
	Insufficient info for BP	Count	4	0	4
		% within Q16	3.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
	ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	1	7	8
		% within Q16	.8%	3.9%	
		% of Total	.3%	2.2%	2.6%
	Preparing one now	Count	7	17	24
		% within Q16	5.3%	9.4%	
		% of Total	2.2%	5.4%	7.7%
	Other	Count	3	6	9
		% within Q16	2.3%	3.3%	
		% of Total	1.0%	1.9%	2.9%
	DK	Count	0	1	1
		% within Q16	.0%	.6%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
	Will leave early	Count	12	14	26
		% within Q16	9.2%	7.7%	
		% of Total	3.8%	4.5%	8.3%
	Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	16	15	31
		% within Q16	12.2%	8.3%	
		% of Total	5.1%	4.8%	9.9%
	Small household	Count	27	28	55
		% within Q16	20.6%	15.5%	
		% of Total	8.7%	9.0%	17.6%
	Leave when threatened	Count	13	21	34
		% within Q16	9.9%	11.6%	
		% of Total	4.2%	6.7%	10.9%
Total		Count	131	181	312
		% of Total	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NoBP*Dist Crosstabulation

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	79	21	100
		% within Dist	34.1%	26.6%	
		% of Total	25.4%	6.8%	32.2%
	Not got around to it/not priority	Count	30	12	42
		% within Dist	12.9%	15.2%	
		% of Total	9.6%	3.9%	13.5%
	Don't need written/remember	Count	30	16	46
		% within Dist	12.9%	20.3%	
		% of Total	9.6%	5.1%	14.8%
	Can't plan/play by ear	Count	10	6	16
		% within Dist	4.3%	7.6%	
		% of Total	3.2%	1.9%	5.1%
	Insufficient info for BP	Count	3	1	4
		% within Dist	1.3%	1.3%	
		% of Total	1.0%	.3%	1.3%
	ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	5	3	8
		% within Dist	2.2%	3.8%	
		% of Total	1.6%	1.0%	2.6%
	Preparing one now	Count	19	5	24
		% within Dist	8.2%	6.3%	
		% of Total	6.1%	1.6%	7.7%
	Other	Count	7	2	9
		% within Dist	3.0%	2.5%	
		% of Total	2.3%	.6%	2.9%
	DK	Count	0	1	1
		% within Dist	.0%	1.3%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
	Will leave early	Count	20	6	26
		% within Dist	8.6%	7.6%	
		% of Total	6.4%	1.9%	8.4%
	Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	20	11	31
		% within Dist	8.6%	13.9%	
		% of Total	6.4%	3.5%	10.0%
	Small household	Count	44	10	54
		% within Dist	19.0%	12.7%	
		% of Total	14.1%	3.2%	17.4%
	Leave when threatened	Count	30	4	34
		% within Dist	12.9%	5.1%	
		% of Total	9.6%	1.3%	10.9%
Total	Count	232	79	311	
	% of Total	74.6%	25.4%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NoBP*Prop Crosstabulation

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	52	48	100
		% within Prop	25.4%	45.3%	
		% of Total	16.7%	15.4%	32.2%
	Not got around to it/not priority	Count	30	12	42
		% within Prop	14.6%	11.3%	
		% of Total	9.6%	3.9%	13.5%
	Don't need written/remember	Count	34	12	46
		% within Prop	16.6%	11.3%	
		% of Total	10.9%	3.9%	14.8%
	Can't plan/play by ear	Count	11	5	16
		% within Prop	5.4%	4.7%	
		% of Total	3.5%	1.6%	5.1%
	Insufficient info for BP	Count	2	2	4
		% within Prop	1.0%	1.9%	
		% of Total	.6%	.6%	1.3%
	ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	8	0	8
		% within Prop	3.9%	.0%	
		% of Total	2.6%	.0%	2.6%
	Preparing one now	Count	15	9	24
		% within Prop	7.3%	8.5%	
		% of Total	4.8%	2.9%	7.7%
	Other	Count	5	4	9
		% within Prop	2.4%	3.8%	
		% of Total	1.6%	1.3%	2.9%
	DK	Count	0	1	1
		% within Prop	.0%	.9%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
	Will leave early	Count	16	10	26
		% within Prop	7.8%	9.4%	
		% of Total	5.1%	3.2%	8.4%
	Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	25	6	31
		% within Prop	12.2%	5.7%	
		% of Total	8.0%	1.9%	10.0%
	Small household	Count	38	16	54
		% within Prop	18.5%	15.1%	
		% of Total	12.2%	5.1%	17.4%
	Leave when threatened	Count	22	12	34
		% within Prop	10.7%	11.3%	
		% of Total	7.1%	3.9%	10.9%
Total		Count	205	106	311
		% of Total	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NoBP*House2 Crosstabulation

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	42	57	0	99
		% within House2	34.1%	30.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	13.5%	18.3%	.0%	31.8%
Not got around to it/not priority	Count	Count	17	24	1	42
		% within House2	13.8%	12.8%	100.0%	
		% of Total	5.5%	7.7%	.3%	13.5%
Don't need written/remember	Count	Count	20	26	0	46
		% within House2	16.3%	13.9%	.0%	
		% of Total	6.4%	8.4%	.0%	14.8%
Can't plan/play by ear	Count	Count	5	11	0	16
		% within House2	4.1%	5.9%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.6%	3.5%	.0%	5.1%
Insufficient info for BP	Count	Count	0	4	0	4
		% within House2	.0%	2.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	Count	0	8	0	8
		% within House2	.0%	4.3%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.6%
Preparing one now	Count	Count	12	12	0	24
		% within House2	9.8%	6.4%	.0%	
		% of Total	3.9%	3.9%	.0%	7.7%
Other	Count	Count	5	4	0	9
		% within House2	4.1%	2.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.6%	1.3%	.0%	2.9%
DK	Count	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within House2	.0%	.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%
Will leave early	Count	Count	12	14	0	26
		% within House2	9.8%	7.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	3.9%	4.5%	.0%	8.4%
Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	Count	13	18	0	31
		% within House2	10.6%	9.6%	.0%	
		% of Total	4.2%	5.8%	.0%	10.0%
Small household	Count	Count	5	49	0	54
		% within House2	4.1%	26.2%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.6%	15.8%	.0%	17.4%
Leave when threatened	Count	Count	14	20	0	34
		% within House2	11.4%	10.7%	.0%	
		% of Total	4.5%	6.4%	.0%	10.9%
Total	Count	Count	123	187	1	311
		% of Total	39.5%	60.1%	.3%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NoBP*AgeRes Crosstabulation

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	4	72	23	99
		% within AgeRes	16.0%	35.1%	28.8%	
		% of Total	1.3%	23.2%	7.4%	31.9%
	Not got around to it/not priority	Count	7	27	8	42
		% within AgeRes	28.0%	13.2%	10.0%	
		% of Total	2.3%	8.7%	2.6%	13.5%
	Don't need written/remember	Count	2	31	13	46
		% within AgeRes	8.0%	15.1%	16.3%	
		% of Total	.6%	10.0%	4.2%	14.8%
	Can't plan/play by ear	Count	0	12	4	16
		% within AgeRes	.0%	5.9%	5.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	3.9%	1.3%	5.2%
	Insufficient info for BP	Count	0	1	3	4
		% within AgeRes	.0%	.5%	3.8%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	1.0%	1.3%
	ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	0	2	6	8
		% within AgeRes	.0%	1.0%	7.5%	
		% of Total	.0%	.6%	1.9%	2.6%
	Preparing one now	Count	4	17	3	24
		% within AgeRes	16.0%	8.3%	3.8%	
		% of Total	1.3%	5.5%	1.0%	7.7%
	Other	Count	1	7	1	9
		% within AgeRes	4.0%	3.4%	1.3%	
		% of Total	.3%	2.3%	.3%	2.9%
	DK	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within AgeRes	.0%	.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%
	Will leave early	Count	2	16	7	25
		% within AgeRes	8.0%	7.8%	8.8%	
		% of Total	.6%	5.2%	2.3%	8.1%
	Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	5	20	6	31
		% within AgeRes	20.0%	9.8%	7.5%	
		% of Total	1.6%	6.5%	1.9%	10.0%
	Small household	Count	1	30	23	54
		% within AgeRes	4.0%	14.6%	28.8%	
		% of Total	.3%	9.7%	7.4%	17.4%
	Leave when threatened	Count	3	24	7	34
		% within AgeRes	12.0%	11.7%	8.8%	
		% of Total	1.0%	7.7%	2.3%	11.0%
Total		Count	25	205	80	310
		% of Total	8.1%	66.1%	25.8%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NoBP*Area Crosstabulation

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Reason no BP	Know what to do/BP unnecessary	Count	12	45	42	99
		% within Area	26.1%	29.4%	39.3%	
		% of Total	3.9%	14.7%	13.7%	32.4%
	Not got around to it/not priority	Count	11	22	9	42
		% within Area	23.9%	14.4%	8.4%	
		% of Total	3.6%	7.2%	2.9%	13.7%
	Don't need written/remember	Count	4	28	13	45
		% within Area	8.7%	18.3%	12.1%	
		% of Total	1.3%	9.2%	4.2%	14.7%
	Can't plan/play by ear	Count	1	9	6	16
		% within Area	2.2%	5.9%	5.6%	
		% of Total	.3%	2.9%	2.0%	5.2%
	Insufficient info for BP	Count	0	1	3	4
		% within Area	.0%	.7%	2.8%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	1.0%	1.3%
	ES/relatives tell us what to do	Count	3	2	3	8
		% within Area	6.5%	1.3%	2.8%	
		% of Total	1.0%	.7%	1.0%	2.6%
	Preparing one now	Count	3	12	8	23
		% within Area	6.5%	7.8%	7.5%	
		% of Total	1.0%	3.9%	2.6%	7.5%
	Other	Count	2	6	1	9
		% within Area	4.3%	3.9%	.9%	
		% of Total	.7%	2.0%	.3%	2.9%
	DK	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within Area	2.2%	.0%	.0%	
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Will leave early	Count	2	18	5	25
		% within Area	4.3%	11.8%	4.7%	
		% of Total	.7%	5.9%	1.6%	8.2%
	Not high risk/won't happen to me	Count	6	16	9	31
		% within Area	13.0%	10.5%	8.4%	
		% of Total	2.0%	5.2%	2.9%	10.1%
	Small household	Count	9	23	20	52
		% within Area	19.6%	15.0%	18.7%	
		% of Total	2.9%	7.5%	6.5%	17.0%
	Leave when threatened	Count	2	15	17	34
		% within Area	4.3%	9.8%	15.9%	
		% of Total	.7%	4.9%	5.6%	11.1%
Total		Count	46	153	107	306
		% of Total	15.0%	50.0%	35.0%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*Q16 Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	51	78	129
		% within Q16	29.7%	33.3%	
		% of Total	12.6%	19.2%	31.8%
	Leave immediately	Count	16	32	48
		% within Q16	9.3%	13.7%	
		% of Total	3.9%	7.9%	11.8%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	23	28	51
		% within Q16	13.4%	12.0%	
		% of Total	5.7%	6.9%	12.6%
	Leave early	Count	21	35	56
		% within Q16	12.2%	15.0%	
		% of Total	5.2%	8.6%	13.8%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	7	10	17
		% within Q16	4.1%	4.3%	
		% of Total	1.7%	2.5%	4.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	6	8	14
		% within Q16	3.5%	3.4%	
		% of Total	1.5%	2.0%	3.4%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	13	14	27
		% within Q16	7.6%	6.0%	
		% of Total	3.2%	3.4%	6.7%
	Other	Count	8	4	12
		% within Q16	4.7%	1.7%	
		% of Total	2.0%	1.0%	3.0%
	DK	Count	27	26	53
		% within Q16	15.7%	11.1%	
		% of Total	6.7%	6.4%	13.1%
	Prepare property	Count	1	0	1
		% within Q16	.6%	.0%	
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Implement fire plan	Count	8	14	22
		% within Q16	4.7%	6.0%	
		% of Total	2.0%	3.4%	5.4%
	Leave if threatened	Count	0	1	1
		% within Q16	.0%	.4%	
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
	Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave	Count	2	4	6
		% within Q16	1.2%	1.7%	
		% of Total	.5%	1.0%	1.5%
Total	Count	172	234	406	
	% of Total	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*Dist Crosstabulation

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	95	34	129
		% within Dist	31.0%	34.3%	
		% of Total	23.5%	8.4%	31.9%
	Leave immediately	Count	39	9	48
		% within Dist	12.7%	9.1%	
		% of Total	9.6%	2.2%	11.9%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	37	14	51
		% within Dist	12.1%	14.1%	
		% of Total	9.1%	3.5%	12.6%
	Leave early	Count	50	6	56
		% within Dist	16.3%	6.1%	
		% of Total	12.3%	1.5%	13.8%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	9	8	17
		% within Dist	2.9%	8.1%	
		% of Total	2.2%	2.0%	4.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	9	5	14
		% within Dist	2.9%	5.1%	
		% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.5%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	20	7	27
		% within Dist	6.5%	7.1%	
% of Total		4.9%	1.7%	6.7%	
Other	Count	9	3	12	
	% within Dist	2.9%	3.0%		
	% of Total	2.2%	.7%	3.0%	
DK	Count	43	10	53	
	% within Dist	14.1%	10.1%		
	% of Total	10.6%	2.5%	13.1%	
Prepare property	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Dist	.3%	.0%		
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Implement fire plan	Count	15	6	21	
	% within Dist	4.9%	6.1%		
	% of Total	3.7%	1.5%	5.2%	
Leave if threatened	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Dist	.0%	1.0%		
	% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%	
Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave	Count	2	4	6	
	% within Dist	.7%	4.0%		
	% of Total	.5%	1.0%	1.5%	
Total	Count	306	99	405	
	% of Total	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*Prop Crosstabulation

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	79	49	128
		% within Prop	30.4%	34.0%	
		% of Total	19.6%	12.1%	31.7%
	Leave immediately	Count	32	16	48
		% within Prop	12.3%	11.1%	
		% of Total	7.9%	4.0%	11.9%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	32	19	51
		% within Prop	12.3%	13.2%	
		% of Total	7.9%	4.7%	12.6%
	Leave early	Count	39	17	56
		% within Prop	15.0%	11.8%	
		% of Total	9.7%	4.2%	13.9%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	9	8	17
		% within Prop	3.5%	5.6%	
		% of Total	2.2%	2.0%	4.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	12	2	14
		% within Prop	4.6%	1.4%	
		% of Total	3.0%	.5%	3.5%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	16	11	27
		% within Prop	6.2%	7.6%	
% of Total		4.0%	2.7%	6.7%	
Other	Count	4	8	12	
	% within Prop	1.5%	5.6%		
	% of Total	1.0%	2.0%	3.0%	
DK	Count	37	16	53	
	% within Prop	14.2%	11.1%		
	% of Total	9.2%	4.0%	13.1%	
Prepare property	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Prop	.4%	.0%		
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Implement fire plan	Count	12	9	21	
	% within Prop	4.6%	6.3%		
	% of Total	3.0%	2.2%	5.2%	
Leave if threatened	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Prop	.4%	.0%		
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	5	1	6	
	% within Prop	1.9%	.7%		
	% of Total	1.2%	.2%	1.5%	
Total	Count	260	144	404	
	% of Total	64.4%	35.6%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*House2 Crosstabulation

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	48	80	1	129
		% within House2	30.0%	33.2%	100.0%	
		% of Total	11.9%	19.9%	.2%	32.1%
	Leave immediately	Count	14	33	0	47
		% within House2	8.8%	13.7%	.0%	
		% of Total	3.5%	8.2%	.0%	11.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	19	31	0	50
		% within House2	11.9%	12.9%	.0%	
		% of Total	4.7%	7.7%	.0%	12.4%
	Leave early	Count	26	30	0	56
		% within House2	16.3%	12.4%	.0%	
		% of Total	6.5%	7.5%	.0%	13.9%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	8	9	0	17
		% within House2	5.0%	3.7%	.0%	
		% of Total	2.0%	2.2%	.0%	4.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	8	6	0	14
		% within House2	5.0%	2.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	2.0%	1.5%	.0%	3.5%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	15	12	0	27
		% within House2	9.4%	5.0%	.0%	
	% of Total	3.7%	3.0%	.0%	6.7%	
Other	Count	6	6	0	12	
	% within House2	3.8%	2.5%	.0%		
	% of Total	1.5%	1.5%	.0%	3.0%	
DK	Count	19	33	0	52	
	% within House2	11.9%	13.7%	.0%		
	% of Total	4.7%	8.2%	.0%	12.9%	
Prepare property	Count	1	0	0	1	
	% within House2	.6%	.0%	.0%		
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	
Implement fire plan	Count	2	19	0	21	
	% within House2	1.3%	7.9%	.0%		
	% of Total	.5%	4.7%	.0%	5.2%	
Leave if threatened	Count	1	0	0	1	
	% within House2	.6%	.0%	.0%		
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	
Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave	Count	2	4	0	6	
	% within House2	1.3%	1.7%	.0%		
	% of Total	.5%	1.0%	.0%	1.5%	
Total	Count	160	241	1	402	
	% of Total	39.8%	60.0%	.2%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*AgeRes Crosstabulation

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	6	93	29	128
		% within AgeRes	22.2%	34.3%	28.2%	
		% of Total	1.5%	23.2%	7.2%	31.9%
	Leave immediately	Count	4	27	16	47
		% within AgeRes	14.8%	10.0%	15.5%	
		% of Total	1.0%	6.7%	4.0%	11.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	5	34	11	50
		% within AgeRes	18.5%	12.5%	10.7%	
		% of Total	1.2%	8.5%	2.7%	12.5%
	Leave early	Count	4	37	14	55
		% within AgeRes	14.8%	13.7%	13.6%	
		% of Total	1.0%	9.2%	3.5%	13.7%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	0	14	3	17
		% within AgeRes	.0%	5.2%	2.9%	
		% of Total	.0%	3.5%	.7%	4.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	3	10	1	14
		% within AgeRes	11.1%	3.7%	1.0%	
		% of Total	.7%	2.5%	.2%	3.5%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	1	22	4	27
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	8.1%	3.9%	
		% of Total	.2%	5.5%	1.0%	6.7%
	Other	Count	1	8	3	12
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	3.0%	2.9%	
		% of Total	.2%	2.0%	.7%	3.0%
	DK	Count	4	27	21	52
		% within AgeRes	14.8%	10.0%	20.4%	
		% of Total	1.0%	6.7%	5.2%	13.0%
	Prepare property	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within AgeRes	.0%	.0%	1.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.2%	.2%
	Implement fire plan	Count	1	16	5	22
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	5.9%	4.9%	
		% of Total	.2%	4.0%	1.2%	5.5%
	Leave if threatened	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within AgeRes	.0%	.4%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave	Count	0	5	1	6
		% within AgeRes	.0%	1.8%	1.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.2%	.2%	1.5%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% of Total	6.7%	67.6%	25.7%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESCodeRed*Area Crosstabulation

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
ES Advise on Code Red Day	Leave night before/early morning	Count	16	75	35	126
		% within Area	28.1%	37.9%	24.8%	
		% of Total	4.0%	18.9%	8.8%	31.8%
	Leave immediately	Count	5	26	16	47
		% within Area	8.8%	13.1%	11.3%	
		% of Total	1.3%	6.6%	4.0%	11.9%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	8	24	18	50
		% within Area	14.0%	12.1%	12.8%	
		% of Total	2.0%	6.1%	4.5%	12.6%
	Leave early	Count	5	31	18	54
		% within Area	8.8%	15.7%	12.8%	
		% of Total	1.3%	7.8%	4.5%	13.6%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	3	7	7	17
		% within Area	5.3%	3.5%	5.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	1.8%	1.8%	4.3%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	3	4	7	14
		% within Area	5.3%	2.0%	5.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	1.0%	1.8%	3.5%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	3	10	14	27
		% within Area	5.3%	5.1%	9.9%	
		% of Total	.8%	2.5%	3.5%	6.8%
	Other	Count	1	5	6	12
		% within Area	1.8%	2.5%	4.3%	
		% of Total	.3%	1.3%	1.5%	3.0%
	DK	Count	14	16	21	51
		% within Area	24.6%	8.1%	14.9%	
		% of Total	3.5%	4.0%	5.3%	12.9%
	Prepare property	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within Area	.0%	.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%
	Implement fire plan	Count	2	8	11	21
		% within Area	3.5%	4.0%	7.8%	
		% of Total	.5%	2.0%	2.8%	5.3%
	Leave if threatened	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within Area	.0%	.0%	.7%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.3%	.3%
	Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave	Count	2	2	2	6
		% within Area	3.5%	1.0%	1.4%	
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	.5%	1.5%
Total	Count	57	198	141	396	
	% of Total	14.4%	50.0%	35.6%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESExtr*Q16 Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	22	30	52
		% within Q16	12.8%	12.9%	
		% of Total	5.4%	7.4%	12.8%
	Leave immediately	Count	10	9	19
		% within Q16	5.8%	3.9%	
		% of Total	2.5%	2.2%	4.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	31	38	69
		% within Q16	18.0%	16.3%	
		% of Total	7.7%	9.4%	17.0%
	Leave early	Count	19	30	49
		% within Q16	11.0%	12.9%	
		% of Total	4.7%	7.4%	12.1%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	4	9	13
		% within Q16	2.3%	3.9%	
		% of Total	1.0%	2.2%	3.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	2	6	8
		% within Q16	1.2%	2.6%	
		% of Total	.5%	1.5%	2.0%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	7	18	25
		% within Q16	4.1%	7.7%	
		% of Total	1.7%	4.4%	6.2%
	Other	Count	9	5	14
		% within Q16	5.2%	2.1%	
		% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.5%
	DK	Count	31	38	69
		% within Q16	18.0%	16.3%	
		% of Total	7.7%	9.4%	17.0%
	10	Count	2	6	8
		% within Q16	1.2%	2.6%	
		% of Total	.5%	1.5%	2.0%
	Implement fire plan	Count	18	25	43
		% within Q16	10.5%	10.7%	
		% of Total	4.4%	6.2%	10.6%
	Leave if threatened	Count	3	14	17
		% within Q16	1.7%	6.0%	
		% of Total	.7%	3.5%	4.2%
	Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	25	34	59
		% within Q16	14.5%	14.6%	
		% of Total	6.2%	8.4%	14.6%
Total		Count	172	233	405
		% of Total	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$E\$Extr*Dist Crosstabulation

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	39	13	52
		% within Dist	12.8%	13.1%	
		% of Total	9.7%	3.2%	12.9%
	Leave immediately	Count	11	8	19
		% within Dist	3.6%	8.1%	
		% of Total	2.7%	2.0%	4.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	53	15	68
		% within Dist	17.4%	15.2%	
		% of Total	13.1%	3.7%	16.8%
	Leave early	Count	43	6	49
		% within Dist	14.1%	6.1%	
		% of Total	10.6%	1.5%	12.1%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	7	6	13
		% within Dist	2.3%	6.1%	
		% of Total	1.7%	1.5%	3.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	5	3	8
		% within Dist	1.6%	3.0%	
		% of Total	1.2%	.7%	2.0%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	20	5	25
		% within Dist	6.6%	5.1%	
		% of Total	5.0%	1.2%	6.2%
	Other	Count	10	4	14
		% within Dist	3.3%	4.0%	
		% of Total	2.5%	1.0%	3.5%
DK		Count	56	13	69
		% within Dist	18.4%	13.1%	
		% of Total	13.9%	3.2%	17.1%
10		Count	4	4	8
		% within Dist	1.3%	4.0%	
		% of Total	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%
	Implement fire plan	Count	32	11	43
		% within Dist	10.5%	11.1%	
		% of Total	7.9%	2.7%	10.6%
	Leave if threatened	Count	14	3	17
		% within Dist	4.6%	3.0%	
		% of Total	3.5%	.7%	4.2%
	Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	44	15	59
		% within Dist	14.4%	15.2%	
		% of Total	10.9%	3.7%	14.6%
Total		Count	305	99	404
		% of Total	75.5%	24.5%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESExtr*Prop Crosstabulation

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	35	16	51
		% within Prop	13.5%	11.1%	
		% of Total	8.7%	4.0%	12.7%
	Leave immediately	Count	11	8	19
		% within Prop	4.2%	5.6%	
		% of Total	2.7%	2.0%	4.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	48	20	68
		% within Prop	18.5%	13.9%	
		% of Total	11.9%	5.0%	16.9%
	Leave early	Count	23	26	49
		% within Prop	8.9%	18.1%	
		% of Total	5.7%	6.5%	12.2%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	11	2	13
		% within Prop	4.2%	1.4%	
		% of Total	2.7%	.5%	3.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	6	2	8
		% within Prop	2.3%	1.4%	
		% of Total	1.5%	.5%	2.0%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	16	9	25
		% within Prop	6.2%	6.3%	
		% of Total	4.0%	2.2%	6.2%
	Other	Count	9	5	14
		% within Prop	3.5%	3.5%	
		% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.5%
DK		Count	44	25	69
		% within Prop	17.0%	17.4%	
		% of Total	10.9%	6.2%	17.1%
10		Count	6	2	8
		% within Prop	2.3%	1.4%	
		% of Total	1.5%	.5%	2.0%
	Implement fire plan	Count	24	19	43
		% within Prop	9.3%	13.2%	
		% of Total	6.0%	4.7%	10.7%
	Leave if threatened	Count	12	5	17
		% within Prop	4.6%	3.5%	
		% of Total	3.0%	1.2%	4.2%
	Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	39	20	59
		% within Prop	15.1%	13.9%	
		% of Total	9.7%	5.0%	14.6%
Total		Count	259	144	403
		% of Total	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESExtr*House2 Crosstabulation

			Household type2			Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	Other	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	21	31	0	52
		% within House2	13.1%	12.9%	.0%	
		% of Total	5.2%	7.7%	.0%	12.9%
Leave immediately	Leave immediately	Count	4	14	0	18
		% within House2	2.5%	5.8%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.0%	3.5%	.0%	4.5%
Leave or be able to defend	Leave or be able to defend	Count	28	40	1	69
		% within House2	17.5%	16.6%	100.0%	
		% of Total	7.0%	10.0%	.2%	17.2%
Leave early	Leave early	Count	23	26	0	49
		% within House2	14.4%	10.8%	.0%	
		% of Total	5.7%	6.5%	.0%	12.2%
Stay till advised by ES to leave	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	5	8	0	13
		% within House2	3.1%	3.3%	.0%	
		% of Total	1.2%	2.0%	.0%	3.2%
Go to refuge/safe place	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	3	5	0	8
		% within House2	1.9%	2.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	.7%	1.2%	.0%	2.0%
Leave (at some time)	Leave (at some time)	Count	8	16	0	24
		% within House2	5.0%	6.6%	.0%	
		% of Total	2.0%	4.0%	.0%	6.0%
Other	Other	Count	3	11	0	14
		% within House2	1.9%	4.6%	.0%	
		% of Total	.7%	2.7%	.0%	3.5%
DK	DK	Count	34	34	0	68
		% within House2	21.3%	14.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	8.5%	8.5%	.0%	16.9%
10	10	Count	2	6	0	8
		% within House2	1.3%	2.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	.5%	1.5%	.0%	2.0%
Implement fire plan	Implement fire plan	Count	18	25	0	43
		% within House2	11.3%	10.4%	.0%	
		% of Total	4.5%	6.2%	.0%	10.7%
Leave if threatened	Leave if threatened	Count	8	9	0	17
		% within House2	5.0%	3.7%	.0%	
		% of Total	2.0%	2.2%	.0%	4.2%
Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	15	44	0	59
		% within House2	9.4%	18.3%	.0%	
		% of Total	3.7%	10.9%	.0%	14.7%
Total	Total	Count	160	241	1	402
		% of Total	39.8%	60.0%	.2%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$E\$extr*AgeRes Crosstabulation

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	0	39	13	52
		% within AgeRes	.0%	14.4%	12.6%	
		% of Total	.0%	9.7%	3.2%	13.0%
	Leave immediately	Count	1	10	8	19
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	3.7%	7.8%	
		% of Total	.2%	2.5%	2.0%	4.7%
	Leave or be able to defend	Count	6	48	15	69
		% within AgeRes	22.2%	17.7%	14.6%	
		% of Total	1.5%	12.0%	3.7%	17.2%
	Leave early	Count	3	36	9	48
		% within AgeRes	11.1%	13.3%	8.7%	
		% of Total	.7%	9.0%	2.2%	12.0%
	Stay till advised by ES to leave	Count	3	6	4	13
		% within AgeRes	11.1%	2.2%	3.9%	
		% of Total	.7%	1.5%	1.0%	3.2%
	Go to refuge/safe place	Count	0	6	2	8
		% within AgeRes	.0%	2.2%	1.9%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.5%	.5%	2.0%
	Leave (at some time)	Count	3	15	6	24
		% within AgeRes	11.1%	5.5%	5.8%	
		% of Total	.7%	3.7%	1.5%	6.0%
	Other	Count	1	3	10	14
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	1.1%	9.7%	
		% of Total	.2%	.7%	2.5%	3.5%
	DK	Count	6	41	20	67
		% within AgeRes	22.2%	15.1%	19.4%	
		% of Total	1.5%	10.2%	5.0%	16.7%
	10	Count	0	6	2	8
		% within AgeRes	.0%	2.2%	1.9%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.5%	.5%	2.0%
	Implement fire plan	Count	5	33	5	43
		% within AgeRes	18.5%	12.2%	4.9%	
		% of Total	1.2%	8.2%	1.2%	10.7%
	Leave if threatened	Count	1	15	1	17
		% within AgeRes	3.7%	5.5%	1.0%	
		% of Total	.2%	3.7%	.2%	4.2%
	Wait and see//alert/prepared to leave	Count	2	41	16	59
		% within AgeRes	7.4%	15.1%	15.5%	
		% of Total	.5%	10.2%	4.0%	14.7%
Total		Count	27	271	103	401
		% of Total	6.7%	67.6%	25.7%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$ESEXtr*Area Crosstabulation

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
ES Advise on Extreme/severe	Leave night before/early morning	Count	8	31	12	51
		% within Area	14.0%	15.7%	8.5%	
		% of Total	2.0%	7.8%	3.0%	12.9%
Leave immediately		Count	1	9	9	19
		% within Area	1.8%	4.5%	6.4%	
		% of Total	.3%	2.3%	2.3%	4.8%
Leave or be able to defend		Count	8	29	30	67
		% within Area	14.0%	14.6%	21.3%	
		% of Total	2.0%	7.3%	7.6%	16.9%
Leave early		Count	5	26	16	47
		% within Area	8.8%	13.1%	11.3%	
		% of Total	1.3%	6.6%	4.0%	11.9%
Stay till advised by ES to leave		Count	2	7	4	13
		% within Area	3.5%	3.5%	2.8%	
		% of Total	.5%	1.8%	1.0%	3.3%
Go to refuge/safe place		Count	1	2	5	8
		% within Area	1.8%	1.0%	3.5%	
		% of Total	.3%	.5%	1.3%	2.0%
Leave (at some time)		Count	3	13	7	23
		% within Area	5.3%	6.6%	5.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	3.3%	1.8%	5.8%
Other		Count	2	8	4	14
		% within Area	3.5%	4.0%	2.8%	
		% of Total	.5%	2.0%	1.0%	3.5%
DK		Count	13	30	25	68
		% within Area	22.8%	15.2%	17.7%	
		% of Total	3.3%	7.6%	6.3%	17.2%
10		Count	0	6	2	8
		% within Area	.0%	3.0%	1.4%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.5%	.5%	2.0%
Implement fire plan		Count	8	22	13	43
		% within Area	14.0%	11.1%	9.2%	
		% of Total	2.0%	5.6%	3.3%	10.9%
Leave if threatened		Count	4	7	6	17
		% within Area	7.0%	3.5%	4.3%	
		% of Total	1.0%	1.8%	1.5%	4.3%
Wait and see/alert/prepared to leave		Count	10	25	22	57
		% within Area	17.5%	12.6%	15.6%	
		% of Total	2.5%	6.3%	5.6%	14.4%
Total		Count	57	198	141	396
		% of Total	14.4%	50.0%	35.6%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*Q16 Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	23	7	30
		% within Q16	34.3%	11.7%	
		% of Total	18.1%	5.5%	23.6%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	25	16	41
		% within Q16	37.3%	26.7%	
		% of Total	19.7%	12.6%	32.3%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	20	18	38
		% within Q16	29.9%	30.0%	
		% of Total	15.7%	14.2%	29.9%
	Nowhere to go	Count	2	8	10
		% within Q16	3.0%	13.3%	
		% of Total	1.6%	6.3%	7.9%
	Safer at home/in town	Count	3	6	9
		% within Q16	4.5%	10.0%	
		% of Total	2.4%	4.7%	7.1%
	Can't keep coming and going	Count	3	4	7
		% within Q16	4.5%	6.7%	
		% of Total	2.4%	3.1%	5.5%
Bushfire not just on Code Red		Count	0	1	1
		% within Q16	.0%	1.7%	
		% of Total	.0%	.8%	.8%
Other		Count	5	6	11
		% within Q16	7.5%	10.0%	
		% of Total	3.9%	4.7%	8.7%
DK		Count	0	2	2
		% within Q16	.0%	3.3%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.6%	1.6%
11		Count	1	0	1
		% within Q16	1.5%	.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	.0%	.8%
Total		Count	67	60	127
		% of Total	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*Dist Crosstabulation

			Distance from bushland		Total
			<500m	>500m	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	25	5	30
		% within Dist	27.5%	13.9%	
		% of Total	19.7%	3.9%	23.6%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	25	16	41
		% within Dist	27.5%	44.4%	
		% of Total	19.7%	12.6%	32.3%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	28	10	38
		% within Dist	30.8%	27.8%	
		% of Total	22.0%	7.9%	29.9%
	Nowhere to go	Count	7	3	10
		% within Dist	7.7%	8.3%	
		% of Total	5.5%	2.4%	7.9%
	Safer at home/in town	Count	6	3	9
		% within Dist	6.6%	8.3%	
		% of Total	4.7%	2.4%	7.1%
	Can't keep coming and going	Count	4	3	7
		% within Dist	4.4%	8.3%	
		% of Total	3.1%	2.4%	5.5%
	Bushfire not just on Code Red	Count	1	0	1
% within Dist		1.1%	.0%		
% of Total		.8%	.0%	.8%	
Other	Count	11	0	11	
	% within Dist	12.1%	.0%		
	% of Total	8.7%	.0%	8.7%	
DK	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Dist	2.2%	.0%		
	% of Total	1.6%	.0%	1.6%	
11	Count	0	1	1	
	% within Dist	.0%	2.8%		
	% of Total	.0%	.8%	.8%	
Total	Count	91	36	127	
	% of Total	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*Prop Crosstabulation

			Type of property		Total
			Residential	Small acreage/farm	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	14	16	30
		% within Prop	18.2%	32.7%	
		% of Total	11.1%	12.7%	23.8%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	23	18	41
		% within Prop	29.9%	36.7%	
		% of Total	18.3%	14.3%	32.5%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	26	12	38
		% within Prop	33.8%	24.5%	
		% of Total	20.6%	9.5%	30.2%
	Nowhere to go	Count	6	4	10
		% within Prop	7.8%	8.2%	
		% of Total	4.8%	3.2%	7.9%
Safer at home/in town	Count	6	3	9	
	% within Prop	7.8%	6.1%		
	% of Total	4.8%	2.4%	7.1%	
Can't keep coming and going	Count	3	4	7	
	% within Prop	3.9%	8.2%		
	% of Total	2.4%	3.2%	5.6%	
Bushfire not just on Code Red	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Prop	1.3%	.0%		
	% of Total	.8%	.0%	.8%	
Other	Count	7	3	10	
	% within Prop	9.1%	6.1%		
	% of Total	5.6%	2.4%	7.9%	
DK	Count	2	0	2	
	% within Prop	2.6%	.0%		
	% of Total	1.6%	.0%	1.6%	
11	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Prop	1.3%	.0%		
	% of Total	.8%	.0%	.8%	
Total	Count	77	49	126	
	% of Total	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*House2 Crosstabulation

			Household type2		Total
			Household with dependents	Household without dependents	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	9	21	30
		% within House2	18.4%	26.9%	
		% of Total	7.1%	16.5%	23.6%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	14	27	41
		% within House2	28.6%	34.6%	
		% of Total	11.0%	21.3%	32.3%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	19	19	38
		% within House2	38.8%	24.4%	
		% of Total	15.0%	15.0%	29.9%
	Nowhere to go	Count	4	6	10
		% within House2	8.2%	7.7%	
		% of Total	3.1%	4.7%	7.9%
	Safer at home/in town	Count	5	4	9
		% within House2	10.2%	5.1%	
		% of Total	3.9%	3.1%	7.1%
	Can't keep coming and going	Count	4	3	7
		% within House2	8.2%	3.8%	
		% of Total	3.1%	2.4%	5.5%
Bushfire not just on Code Red		Count	0	1	1
		% within House2	.0%	1.3%	
		% of Total	.0%	.8%	.8%
Other		Count	4	7	11
		% within House2	8.2%	9.0%	
		% of Total	3.1%	5.5%	8.7%
DK		Count	0	2	2
		% within House2	.0%	2.6%	
		% of Total	.0%	1.6%	1.6%
11		Count	1	0	1
		% within House2	2.0%	.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	.0%	.8%
Total		Count	49	78	127
		% of Total	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*AgeRes Crosstabulation

			Age of respondent2			Total
			18-34	35-64	65+	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	0	20	10	30
		% within AgeRes	.0%	23.5%	29.4%	
		% of Total	.0%	15.7%	7.9%	23.6%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	2	26	13	41
		% within AgeRes	25.0%	30.6%	38.2%	
		% of Total	1.6%	20.5%	10.2%	32.3%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	5	27	6	38
		% within AgeRes	62.5%	31.8%	17.6%	
		% of Total	3.9%	21.3%	4.7%	29.9%
	Nowhere to go	Count	1	7	2	10
		% within AgeRes	12.5%	8.2%	5.9%	
		% of Total	.8%	5.5%	1.6%	7.9%
	Safer at home/in town	Count	0	5	4	9
		% within AgeRes	.0%	5.9%	11.8%	
		% of Total	.0%	3.9%	3.1%	7.1%
	Can't keep coming and going	Count	1	6	0	7
		% within AgeRes	12.5%	7.1%	.0%	
		% of Total	.8%	4.7%	.0%	5.5%
Bushfire not just on Code Red		Count	0	1	0	1
		% within AgeRes	.0%	1.2%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.8%	.0%	.8%
Other		Count	0	8	3	11
		% within AgeRes	.0%	9.4%	8.8%	
		% of Total	.0%	6.3%	2.4%	8.7%
DK		Count	0	0	2	2
		% within AgeRes	.0%	.0%	5.9%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.6%
11		Count	0	1	0	1
		% within AgeRes	.0%	1.2%	.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.8%	.0%	.8%
Total		Count	8	85	34	127
		% of Total	6.3%	66.9%	26.8%	100.0%

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group

\$NotLeave*Area Crosstabulation

			Area1			Total
			Bendigo+	Dandenongs	Rest	
Reason not leave on Code Red	Can defend/property prepared	Count	5	13	12	30
		% within Area	20.0%	25.5%	24.5%	
		% of Total	4.0%	10.4%	9.6%	24.0%
	Safe/not threatened	Count	9	17	14	40
		% within Area	36.0%	33.3%	28.6%	
		% of Total	7.2%	13.6%	11.2%	32.0%
	Needs to be a fire to leave	Count	7	14	17	38
		% within Area	28.0%	27.5%	34.7%	
		% of Total	5.6%	11.2%	13.6%	30.4%
	Nowhere to go	Count	5	2	3	10
		% within Area	20.0%	3.9%	6.1%	
% of Total		4.0%	1.6%	2.4%	8.0%	
Safer at home/in town	Count	1	4	4	9	
	% within Area	4.0%	7.8%	8.2%		
	% of Total	.8%	3.2%	3.2%	7.2%	
Can't keep coming and going	Count	0	3	4	7	
	% within Area	.0%	5.9%	8.2%		
	% of Total	.0%	2.4%	3.2%	5.6%	
Other	Count	0	8	3	11	
	% within Area	.0%	15.7%	6.1%		
	% of Total	.0%	6.4%	2.4%	8.8%	
DK	Count	1	0	1	2	
	% within Area	4.0%	.0%	2.0%		
	% of Total	.8%	.0%	.8%	1.6%	
11	Count	0	0	1	1	
	% within Area	.0%	.0%	2.0%		
	% of Total	.0%	.0%	.8%	.8%	
Total	Count	25	51	49	125	
	% of Total	20.0%	40.8%	39.2%	100.0%	

Percentages and totals are based on respondents.

a. Group